


## Q1 Type of Business (primary service offered)


Answered: 337 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Engineering	89.91%	303
Land Surveying	7.42%	25
Architectural	0.89%	3
Contractor	1.78%	6
TOTAL		337

## Q2 Number of Full-Time Employees


Answered: 339 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
>150	26.25%	89
<150	73.75%	250
TOTAL		339

## Q3 Business Managing Office Location


Answered: 250 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
in Nevada	38.40%	96
other US State	61.20%	153
outside US	0.40%	1
TOTAL		250

### Q4 Will a specific proposed regulatory provision in NAC 625.610 have an adverse economic effect upon your business?

Answered: 248 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	8.06%	20
No	91.94%	228
TOTAL		248

## Q5 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 4.

Answered: 84 Skipped: 166

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Makes sense	3/5/2018 2:59 PM
2	Section 2, Professional Land Surveyor stamp. To avoid misunderstanding the person's name, John J. Doe, the line for the Exp. Date, and the number 00000 in the outer circle, should be included.	3/5/2018 12:03 PM
3	None	3/1/2018 2:13 PM
4	I am currently employed by a public agency in California and do not work in Nevada.	3/1/2018 11:52 AM
5	NONE	3/1/2018 11:23 AM
6	"Helvetica" is mis-spelled. The typeface is called "Helvetica"	3/1/2018 10:21 AM
7	must buy new stamps	3/1/2018 7:36 AM
8	no	2/28/2018 4:07 PM
9	No	2/28/2018 11:35 AM
10	None	2/28/2018 10:19 AM
11	the cost of purchasing a stamp per discipline is an extra cost not anticipated or something we would be able to recuperate	2/28/2018 5:05 AM
12	If both my stamp and signature are electronic and can be made available to be applied by anyone, they could easily be stolen and used without permission. Allow the stamp to be applied by my employees but require the licensee to apply the digital signature.	2/27/2018 6:01 PM
13	There will be a small cost to change the font of digital stamps. The BOE needs to define Helvetica. For instance, my CAD software has seven different Helvetica-based fonts, but my word processing software has no Helvetica-based fonts.	2/27/2018 10:14 AM
14	Allowing stamps to be obtained from a private entity should actually reduce the cost.	2/27/2018 6:04 AM
15	n/a	2/26/2018 9:26 PM
16	NO	2/26/2018 4:00 PM
17	what is the proposed regulatory provision? can't answer without that information	2/26/2018 2:53 PM
18	The amendments proposed is standard practice in most states. This is not something new to our industry.	2/26/2018 1:19 PM
19	No.	2/26/2018 11:01 AM
20	The biggest risk is with regard to notifying the state when a seal goes missing. If it cant be used without a signature, then reporting the seal missing is not necessary. It seems this is unnecessary.	2/26/2018 10:40 AM
21	no	2/26/2018 10:17 AM
22	Only professionals that will be signing plans or reports need to have a seal. The signing of plans, whether in ink or digitally, is key to being in responsible charge, and removal of the requirement for the person in responsible charge to apply the signature will lead to problems. Let's avoid them now and keep the old provision in place.	2/26/2018 10:12 AM
23	This is a logical progression/change.	2/26/2018 10:01 AM
24	digital signatures are a big part of our business	2/26/2018 8:47 AM
25	na	2/26/2018 8:44 AM
26	None	2/26/2018 8:44 AM
27	No	2/26/2018 8:41 AM

## Business Impacts related to amendments to NAC 625.610


28	But it seems that it would be easier for NVPELS to continue issuing the stamp for a fee for consistency.	2/26/2018 8:37 AM
29	Allow engineers to keep older stamps that also show exp. dates	2/26/2018 8:37 AM
30	N/A	2/26/2018 8:15 AM
31	N/A	2/26/2018 8:03 AM
32	It appears that there is no provision allowing those that are already licensed in Nevada to continue using their existing stamps. The changes to stamp diameter and font may require all existing licensees in Nevada to acquire new stamps - this would be a monumental waste of resources.	2/26/2018 7:50 AM
33	I don't like the idea to inform the board within 30 days of losing a stamp. It just adds one more thing to remember and a burden on the licensee. They will already have to deal with the hassle of getting a new stamp. Even adding the provision will not result in changing behavior, I am guessing you may hear from 20% of those that lose their stamp even if you add the provision.	2/26/2018 7:47 AM
34	Minor effort required to comply with proposed revisions	2/26/2018 7:43 AM
35	Reporting the loss of a digital stamp, digital signature or a seal is silly. Any one could easily create their own with ease. Yes, silly is the correct word.	2/26/2018 6:58 AM
36	no	2/26/2018 6:43 AM
37	n/a	2/26/2018 6:38 AM
38	The use of digital stamps is widespread in our industry and this changes appears to facilitate this use.	2/26/2018 6:18 AM
39	none	2/26/2018 6:05 AM
40	None	2/26/2018 5:23 AM
41	no	2/26/2018 5:16 AM
42	No	2/26/2018 5:05 AM
43	Seems like a good change to the language to clarify things.	2/25/2018 5:29 PM
44	Many engineers never seal anything. It's a complete waste of time and money to buy a stamp that will never be used, is likely to be lost, and may invite mischief if lost.	2/25/2018 4:18 PM
45	Might have to purchase new stamps since we don't know if our current PE stamps are using a Helvetica font	2/25/2018 2:26 PM
46	The revisions for stamp security are ridiculous. Anyone can go on-line and order an engineering stamp without any proof of actually being a licensed engineer. The unauthorized use of someone else's stamp should not be the violations as much as the signing of another person's name to that stamp. In other words, it is the signing of the document, not the application of the seal, that should be of concern.	2/25/2018 2:24 PM
47	I do not use electronic stamps and signatures. They are too easy for someone else to copy and misuse.	2/25/2018 1:37 PM
48	We already follow the proposed requirements. It should however define what "adequate protections are in place to prevent fraud" is in regards to digital signatures.	2/25/2018 9:50 AM
49	This change brings Nevada up to current times.	2/25/2018 9:07 AM
50	none	2/25/2018 8:12 AM
51	Only to the extent of making provisions for electronic stamp and digital signature(s).	2/24/2018 4:33 PM
52	But you're dreaming if you think this will be effective. I invite you to do an internet search for the words, free pdf password remover. It would also take me about five minutes to create an AutoCAD file with anyone's stamp in it (not that I would and not that I'm particularly good with AutoCAD). So the first change will be ineffective and just another way people can run afoul of the law - which creates disrespect for the law.	2/24/2018 3:28 PM
53	We already do all of the above.	2/24/2018 2:46 PM
54	No comment.	2/24/2018 1:39 PM

## Business Impacts related to amendments to NAC 625.610

55	I think it is an improvement and reflects how business is done today. You are the registered professional are still responsible for how your seal and signature is used and must protect it.	2/24/2018 1:31 PM
56	As a licensed civil engineer and land surveyor I support the proposed change.	2/24/2018 1:22 PM
57	I have an electronic stamp but physically sign all drawings. My seal is in an electronically lock file	2/24/2018 1:01 PM
58	Nevada's regulations on dating & on electronic copies of engineering documents are ridiculous and burdensome. I will submit input via separate written document enumerating the problems & suggesting resolution	2/24/2018 10:54 AM
59	none	2/24/2018 10:39 AM
60	No	2/24/2018 10:21 AM
61	N/A	2/24/2018 10:12 AM
62	No	2/24/2018 9:54 AM
63	I think it is unnecessary to state our license expiration	2/24/2018 8:56 AM
64	none	2/24/2018 8:17 AM
65	None	2/24/2018 8:08 AM
66	I am a sole proprietor and I have a physical stamp and follow the provisions of signature as stipulated.	2/24/2018 7:57 AM
67	none	2/24/2018 7:39 AM
68	No adverse affect but this change is not necessary. The existing rule is adequate.	2/24/2018 7:27 AM
69	If I have to use an expensive third party service to create the digital stamp it will take time and money, I could just as easily mail it.	2/24/2018 7:10 AM
70	None.	2/24/2018 7:05 AM
71	Original signature & seal should be required on all signed documents such as a notarized legal document	2/24/2018 4:23 AM
72	No objection.	2/23/2018 9:19 PM
73	we already have a stamp meeting the requirment and use it as proposed	2/23/2018 8:45 PM
74	It is extremely easy to print/ scan and or use an electronic doc with stamp and seal, and use readily available tools to create a snapshot of a signature and/ or stamp, and apply it to anything. Requiring an engineer to ensure it cannot be stolen, or being required to report such behavior isn't practical. The above forging shouldn't be occurring, but can easily be done.	2/23/2018 8:07 PM
75	Paragraph X. not needed.	2/23/2018 7:08 PM
76	Nine	2/23/2018 6:41 PM
77	in our technology-based times, this is a necessary update.	2/23/2018 6:15 PM
78	these proposed changes appear to have merit. More and more we submitting "electronic" engineering documents and the Board Rules no doubt will need to be relevant	2/23/2018 6:04 PM
79	I feel this will bring the State rules into the electronic age.	2/23/2018 5:58 PM
80	Mostly this is cleanup of existing language.	2/23/2018 5:47 PM
81	The requirement to continue requiring the expiration date will propagate the the adverse impacts of having to restamp reports and plans because too many people don't understand what the rules are concerning the expiration date.	2/23/2018 5:46 PM
82	No	2/23/2018 5:40 PM
83	None	2/23/2018 5:39 PM
84	I do not like the changes. The original wording was clear and similar to that in other states.	2/23/2018 5:35 PM

### Q6 Will a specific proposed regulatory provision in NAC 625.610 have a beneficial effect upon your business?

Answered: 245 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	18.78%	46
No	81.22%	199
TOTAL		245



## Q7 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 6.

Answered: 70 Skipped: 180

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Makes sense	3/5/2018 2:59 PM
2	None	3/1/2018 2:13 PM
3	I am currently employed by a public agency in California and do not work in Nevada.	3/1/2018 11:52 AM
4	NONE	3/1/2018 11:23 AM
5	no	2/28/2018 4:07 PM
6	Limit printing and time.	2/28/2018 11:35 AM
7	None	2/28/2018 10:19 AM
8	Current rules are outdated	2/28/2018 6:26 AM
9	the changes to the electronic signature requirements would be beneficial	2/28/2018 5:05 AM
10	This will save me hours when signing drawing sets.	2/27/2018 6:01 PM
11	There is no good business reason for this.	2/27/2018 10:14 AM
12	It is not a huge beneficial effect, but the change will make the code more practical and modern.	2/27/2018 8:43 AM
13	this revision should provide increased continuity among persons stamping documents.	2/27/2018 7:18 AM
14	it's just nice to clean up the provision.	2/26/2018 9:26 PM
15	No	2/26/2018 4:00 PM
16	don't know	2/26/2018 2:53 PM
17	no	2/26/2018 1:19 PM
18	No.	2/26/2018 11:01 AM
19	no	2/26/2018 10:17 AM
20	.	2/26/2018 10:01 AM
21	na	2/26/2018 8:44 AM
22	Often times plans are not prepared by the Engineer of Record. Allowing that person to apply the digital stamp will save time and efforts on projects.	2/26/2018 8:44 AM
23	It adds clarity, but no real beneficial effect	2/26/2018 8:41 AM
24	Being able to purchase the stamp from other sources is a good idea as long as the design of the stamp is enforced - which won't be easy.	2/26/2018 8:33 AM
25	N/A	2/26/2018 8:15 AM
26	N/A	2/26/2018 8:03 AM
27	The printing of hard copies would be reduced and therefore less waste in paper and other goods.	2/26/2018 7:51 AM
28	No benefit to the part about informing the board of a lost stamp.	2/26/2018 7:47 AM
29	Minor effect of complying with revisions	2/26/2018 7:43 AM
30	no	2/26/2018 6:43 AM
31	n/a	2/26/2018 6:38 AM
32	Standardization is helpful	2/26/2018 6:18 AM
33	none	2/26/2018 6:05 AM
34	None	2/26/2018 5:23 AM

## Business Impacts related to amendments to NAC 625.610


35	no	2/26/2018 5:16 AM
36	No	2/26/2018 5:05 AM
37	Seems like a good change to the language to clarify things.	2/25/2018 5:29 PM
38	I do not use electronic stamps and signatures. They are too easy for someone else to copy and misuse.	2/25/2018 1:37 PM
39	no	2/25/2018 9:07 AM
40	It clarifies the process to better reflect the way that many documents are produced and handled by the designer as well as the clients.	2/25/2018 8:14 AM
41	none	2/25/2018 8:12 AM
42	This will save me time and money.	2/25/2018 6:27 AM
43	No comment.	2/24/2018 1:39 PM
44	It will make it easier to do work and serve clients when submittals are required when I may not be in the office. I believe that I can still protect the integrity of my work.	2/24/2018 1:31 PM
45	None	2/24/2018 1:01 PM
46	none	2/24/2018 10:39 AM
47	No	2/24/2018 10:21 AM
48	N/A	2/24/2018 10:12 AM
49	I disagree with this entire stamp proposal as well as the existing NAC provision. I say this because many people get a license for "authority reasons" only and not necessarily for stamping plans and documents. Many professors and managers do not stamp plans. All this about stamps is guarantee the stamp manufacture has a job no matter if the engineer produces plans, documents, etc. Please preface you proposal for NAC changes to say "A stamp is required when one wishes to produce and submit engineering plans or other engineering documents as required by the authority or agency requesting." Otherwise, I believe it unnecessary to have one spend the money for nothing. A license plaque on the wall is fine. Some companies only want to use your license when putting together a company portfolio or proposal application. Passing the exam and getting a qualifying letter in the mail should not be taken that the newly licensed must run down and buy a stamp immediately in order to be a licensed engineer. Lastly, why do we need a stamp if it can be produced electronically! All we need to do is provide an actual signature that could possibly be on file with the agency accepting the plans, etc. Please consider my comments when changing this NAC amendment.	2/24/2018 9:57 AM
50	No	2/24/2018 9:54 AM
51	We are professionals , if I sign 46 sheets it just adds time to our effort ( I wet seal plans issued for construction and permit as it is a way to ensure your careful review )	2/24/2018 8:56 AM
52	none	2/24/2018 8:17 AM
53	None	2/24/2018 8:08 AM
54	See comment 5	2/24/2018 7:57 AM
55	Offers flexibility in delivery of project drawings and reports	2/24/2018 7:39 AM
56	More regulation generally hurts business or helps create monopolies.	2/24/2018 7:10 AM
57	None.	2/24/2018 7:05 AM
58	electronic signatures should not be accepted as original document	2/24/2018 4:23 AM
59	I interpret that I can use a stylus on a tablet or phone to sign plans for submittal since the "opaque ink" is removed? It seems to me that 625.610 applies to hardcopy prints as opposed to electronic submittals. I think what the community is looking for is more clarity about signing plans on a tablet or phone using a stylus and making a electronic submittal. Still not clear to me on digital submittals.	2/23/2018 9:19 PM
60	no effect	2/23/2018 8:45 PM
61	Nevada should continue to recognize that electronic seals are a reality and that does not necessarily diminish the quality or legitimacy of the plans	2/23/2018 6:45 PM

## Business Impacts related to amendments to NAC 625.610

62	None	2/23/2018 6:41 PM
63	as an out-of-state based office, allowing electronic signatures is invaluable for reducing overhead costs.	2/23/2018 6:15 PM
64	Relavant Board Rules regarding seals/stamps will always help to make the intent of the other rules, such as securing one's stamp, making sure who the Engineer is that has applied the electronic stamp, etc.	2/23/2018 6:04 PM
65	This will allow me as the principal engineer to work on site, and still have the ability to stamp and sign drawings remotely.	2/23/2018 5:58 PM
66	None.	2/23/2018 5:47 PM
67	This aligns closer to the requirements in other states and actual practice	2/23/2018 5:46 PM
68	No	2/23/2018 5:40 PM
69	Electronic stamps and signatures are accepted by many jurisdictions. We have been doing business this way for many years.	2/23/2018 5:40 PM
70	I need to research "securing digital signature" before I completely understand the changes.	2/23/2018 5:39 PM

## Q8 Do anticipate any indirect adverse effects on your business?

Answered: 244 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	6.56%	16
No	93.44%	228
TOTAL		244

## Q9 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 8.

Answered: 64 Skipped: 186


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Makes sense	3/5/2018 2:59 PM
2	None	3/1/2018 2:13 PM
3	I am currently employed by a public agency in California and do not work in Nevada.	3/1/2018 11:52 AM
4	NONE	3/1/2018 11:23 AM
5	must buy new stamps	3/1/2018 7:36 AM
6	no	2/28/2018 4:07 PM
7	No	2/28/2018 11:35 AM
8	None	2/28/2018 10:19 AM
9	extra costs in purchasing stamps per discipline for multi disciplined engineers	2/28/2018 5:05 AM
10	If both my stamp and signature are electronic and can be made available to be applied by anyone, they could easily be stolen and used without permission. Allow the stamp to be applied by my employees but require the licensee to apply the digital signature.	2/27/2018 6:01 PM
11	There will be no adverse effects unless someone gets overly fussy about the now-prescribed font.	2/27/2018 10:14 AM
12	n/a	2/26/2018 9:26 PM
13	No	2/26/2018 4:00 PM
14	don't know	2/26/2018 2:53 PM
15	No	2/26/2018 1:19 PM
16	Only if existing stamps which the engineer has in his possession prior to the enactment of this change are considered invalid.	2/26/2018 11:20 AM
17	No.	2/26/2018 11:01 AM
18	no	2/26/2018 10:17 AM
19	,	2/26/2018 10:01 AM
20	na	2/26/2018 8:44 AM
21	None	2/26/2018 8:44 AM
22	No	2/26/2018 8:41 AM
23	Allowing persons other than the licensee to sign as the licensee will have bad results. It's a signature for goodness sake!!! I will never allow anyone else to do it for me. Application of the signature should remain a requirement of the licensee who's signature is being applied.	2/26/2018 8:33 AM
24	N/A	2/26/2018 8:15 AM
25	N/A	2/26/2018 8:03 AM
26	Minor affect on business to comply	2/26/2018 7:43 AM
27	none	2/26/2018 6:43 AM
28	n/a	2/26/2018 6:38 AM
29	none	2/26/2018 6:05 AM
30	None	2/26/2018 5:23 AM
31	no	2/26/2018 5:16 AM
32	No	2/26/2018 5:05 AM

## Business Impacts related to amendments to NAC 625.610

33	Seems like a good change to the language to clarify things.	2/25/2018 5:29 PM
34	Potential risks of having extraneous, never-used PE stamps lying around.	2/25/2018 4:18 PM
35	See the response to Question #5.	2/25/2018 2:24 PM
36	no	2/25/2018 9:07 AM
37	none	2/25/2018 8:12 AM
38	Only to the extent of making provisions for electronic stamp and digital signature(s).	2/24/2018 4:33 PM
39	No comment.	2/24/2018 1:39 PM
40	I can still protect what I actually seal.	2/24/2018 1:31 PM
41	None.	2/24/2018 1:01 PM
42	see #5 above	2/24/2018 10:54 AM
43	none	2/24/2018 10:39 AM
44	No	2/24/2018 10:21 AM
45	N/A	2/24/2018 10:12 AM
46	No	2/24/2018 9:54 AM
47	If my seal expires in 3 months are my clients going to worry unduly ? ask for someone to seal who's license will not expire during construction ?	2/24/2018 8:56 AM
48	none	2/24/2018 8:17 AM
49	None	2/24/2018 8:08 AM
50	See comment 5	2/24/2018 7:57 AM
51	none	2/24/2018 7:39 AM
52	Will new seals be required if they do not currently use Helvetica font?	2/24/2018 7:26 AM
53	None.	2/24/2018 7:05 AM
54	ID theft of documents that the licensee never reviewed	2/24/2018 4:23 AM
55	Unknown at this time due to lack of clarity. See Comment (7).	2/23/2018 9:19 PM
56	no effect	2/23/2018 8:45 PM
57	Nevada should continue to recognize that electronic seals are a reality and that does not necessarily diminish the quality or legitimacy of the plans	2/23/2018 6:45 PM
58	None	2/23/2018 6:41 PM
59	none anticipated.	2/23/2018 6:15 PM
60	no cmt	2/23/2018 6:04 PM
61	None.	2/23/2018 5:47 PM
62	Continued problems associated with including the expiration date. Should remove the requirement for the expiration date since it doesn't provide any benefit.	2/23/2018 5:46 PM
63	No	2/23/2018 5:40 PM
64	None	2/23/2018 5:39 PM

### Q10 Do anticipate any indirect beneficial effects on your business?

Answered: 244 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	11.89%	29
No	88.11%	215
TOTAL		244

## Q11 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 10.

Answered: 63 Skipped: 187

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Makes sense	3/5/2018 2:59 PM
2	None	3/1/2018 2:13 PM
3	I am currently employed by a public agency in California and do not work in Nevada.	3/1/2018 11:52 AM
4	NONE	3/1/2018 11:23 AM
5	these are housekeeping issues	2/28/2018 4:07 PM
6	No	2/28/2018 11:35 AM
7	None	2/28/2018 10:19 AM
8	none	2/28/2018 5:05 AM
9	Saving hours of signing will allow me to focus on other aspects of my business.	2/27/2018 6:01 PM
10	"the licensee may not use a stamp to produce his or her signature". Can the signature be computer generated??	2/27/2018 5:57 PM
11	n/a	2/26/2018 9:26 PM
12	I do not like that the engineer who's stamp is on the drawings will no longer have to apply the digital signature themselves.	2/26/2018 4:55 PM
13	No	2/26/2018 4:00 PM
14	need help with your survey. how can anyone answer 'yes' or 'no' without knowing what provisions you're talking about??	2/26/2018 2:53 PM
15	It may be easier to get a stamp for newly licensed engineers.	2/26/2018 1:19 PM
16	No.	2/26/2018 11:01 AM
17	no	2/26/2018 10:17 AM
18	.	2/26/2018 10:01 AM
19	na	2/26/2018 8:44 AM
20	None	2/26/2018 8:44 AM
21	No	2/26/2018 8:41 AM
22	N/A	2/26/2018 8:15 AM
23	N/A	2/26/2018 8:03 AM
24	Lower cost in printing and scanning of original Documents	2/26/2018 7:51 AM
25	Easier to comply with proposed ammendments	2/26/2018 7:43 AM
26	no	2/26/2018 6:43 AM
27	n/a	2/26/2018 6:38 AM
28	none	2/26/2018 6:05 AM
29	None	2/26/2018 5:23 AM
30	no	2/26/2018 5:16 AM
31	No	2/26/2018 5:05 AM
32	Seems like a good change to the language to clarify things.	2/25/2018 5:29 PM



## Business Impacts related to amendments to NAC 625.610

33	I do have concerns with abuse of electronic, or digital signatures.	2/25/2018 1:53 PM
34	no	2/25/2018 9:07 AM
35	none	2/25/2018 8:12 AM
36	This is a good update to bring in current technology and maintain uniformity in the stamping process.	2/24/2018 3:16 PM
37	No comment.	2/24/2018 1:39 PM
38	It will make preparing final documents and providing those to Clients and regulators more efficient.	2/24/2018 1:31 PM
39	None	2/24/2018 1:01 PM
40	none	2/24/2018 10:39 AM
41	No	2/24/2018 10:21 AM
42	625.610 had been out-dated for some time and I approve of all of the changes, which are clear and specific. this should reduce ambiguity and potential for argument.	2/24/2018 10:17 AM
43	Simplifies submittal of digital plans.	2/24/2018 10:12 AM
44	I disagree with this entire stamp proposal as well as the existing NAC provision. I say this because many people get a license for "authority reasons" only and not necessarily for stamping plans and documents. Many professors and managers do not stamp plans. All this about stamps is guarantee the stamp manufacture has a job no matter if the engineer produces plans, documents, etc. Please preface you proposal for NAC changes to say "A stamp is required when one wishes to produce and submit engineering plans or other engineering documents as required by the authority or agency requesting." Otherwise, I believe it unnecessary to have one spend the money for nothing. A license plaque on the wall is fine. Some companies only want to use your license when putting together a company portfolio or proposal application. Passing the exam and getting a qualifying letter in the mail should not be taken that the newly licensed must run down and buy a stamp immediately in order to be a licensed engineer. Lastly, why do we need a stamp if it can be produced electronically! All we need to do is provide an actual signature that could possibly be on file with the agency accepting the plans, etc. Please consider my comments when changing this NAC amendment.	2/24/2018 9:57 AM
45	No	2/24/2018 9:54 AM
46	Just more work	2/24/2018 8:56 AM
47	none	2/24/2018 8:17 AM
48	None	2/24/2018 8:08 AM
49	See comment 5	2/24/2018 7:57 AM
50	delivery of project drawings will be less dependent on drawing being overnighted to remote locations not serviced by Fed-EX, etc. that provide next day services from outside NV	2/24/2018 7:39 AM
51	None.	2/24/2018 7:05 AM
52	require only original signed documents for submittal to agencies	2/24/2018 4:23 AM
53	Yes. If we can make electronic submittals and sign with a pen stylus on tablet or phone since agencies are going towards electronic submittals attributed to positive movement in technology.	2/23/2018 9:19 PM
54	no effect	2/23/2018 8:45 PM
55	The use of a digital signature will make it easier to do work from out of state.	2/23/2018 7:02 PM
56	Nevada should continue to recognize that electronic seals are a reality and that does not necessarily diminish the quality or legitimacy of the plans	2/23/2018 6:45 PM
57	None	2/23/2018 6:41 PM
58	none anticipated.	2/23/2018 6:15 PM
59	no comment	2/23/2018 6:04 PM

## Business Impacts related to amendments to NAC 625.610

60	The digital signature rule is still unwieldy. For example scans of paper drawings which contain a stamp are no more or less secure than the original paper copy. Digital signatures often cannot be verified because they are local to the firm's systems and not general. A signature that cannot be verified isn't worth that much. I think the Board should have a digital signature service - this would also allow enforcement of expiration and discipline actions because the digital signature check would fail. Just an idea.	2/23/2018 5:47 PM
61	No	2/23/2018 5:40 PM
62	I agree with electronic certification for a signature and it is much more secure than a rubber stamp and certainly more secure than accepting an electronic impression without a certificate.	2/23/2018 5:40 PM
63	None	2/23/2018 5:39 PM