NEVADA STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS Minutes of the Interim Board Meeting Held virtually, Thursday, August 12, 2021, at 9:15 AM

Board members participating were Chairman Michael Kidd, PLS, Karen Purcell, PE; Angelo Spata, PE; Matthew Gingerich, PLS; Brent Wright, PE/SE; Robert Fyda, PE; Lynnette Russell, PE; Greg DeSart, PE. Also joining were Patty Mamola, Executive Director; Chris MacKenzie, Board Legal Counsel. Vice-Chair Thomas Matter, public member was absent.

1. <u>Meeting conducted by Chair Michael Kidd, call to order and roll call of board members to determine presence of quorum—board members Karen Purcell, Thomas Matter, Angelo Spata, Brent Wright, Matt Gingerich, Robert Fyda, Lynnette Russell, Greg DeSart.</u>

Ms Mamola conducted a roll call and a quorum was present.

- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Public comment.

There was no public comment.

4. <u>Consideration of initial licensure applicant requests to waive certain requirements of Nevada</u>
<u>Revised Statutes and Nevada Administrative Code Chapter 625</u>

There were no waiver requests to be considered.

5. <u>Board approval of non-appearance applications for initial licensure.</u> Refer to Addendum A for <u>list of applicants.</u>

The Board reviewed fifteen applications in the board packet for initial licensure.

Mr Spata asked to discuss civil engineering applicant Reichman due to concerns with experience. Mr Fyda and Mr DeSart also questioned Reichman's experience.

Ms Mamola reminded board members that construction management is engineering. There is a NCEES Civil-Construction exam that has existed for many years now.

Mr DeSart stated applicants should have a solid two years before they submit their application, so the board doesn't have to guess or make assumptions, unless the applicant asks for a waiver describing the reasons why they don't have the required 2-years of supervision under a professional. For this

particular applicant, Mr DeSart stated he would not hold them back for not having the two-years of supervision at the time of application since they would now have the time as of the date of this meeting. Mr DeSart stated he was making a general comment for future consideration. Mr DeSart also asked for clarification on letters sent to applicants regarding practicing in an applicant's area of expertise.

Ms Mamola stated it is standard for all letters to have a statement about an applicant only being able to practice within their areas of expertise within their licensed discipline. Civil engineers are also reminded of structural limitations.

Ms Mamola also stated that she made note of Mr DeSart's concern related to having four-years of experience and two-years of supervision by a professional at the time of application, not by the time of a board meeting, and will make sure that is the case going forward.

After further review of Mr Reichman's application and following the board discussion, it was deemed Mr Reichman had adequate experience for licensure.

Mr Kidd asked if there was a motion to approve the fifteen applicants for initial licensure.

21-56 A motion was made by Ms Purcell, seconded by Ms Russell to approve the initial licensure applications as noted. The motion passed unanimously. Mr Matter was not present for the vote.

6. Public comment.

There was no public comment.

7. Adjournment

Mr Kidd congratulated the new applicants that had participated in the meeting, thanked the board members for their participation, and adjourned the meeting at 9:29am.

Respectfully,

Patty Mamola

Executive Director