

NOTICE OF WORKSHOP

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Nevada State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors will hold a public workshop to receive comments pursuant to [Executive Order 2023-003](#).

On January 12, 2023, Governor Lombardo issued Executive Order 2023-003 directing boards to review regulations under their purview and identify at least ten regulations for removal and also propose amendments that would improve or clarify any existing regulations and consider amendments that would speed the licensing process. The purpose of this workshop is to:

- receive public comment on the Board's recommendations as set out in Attachments A and B
- identify any other regulatory changes that workshop participants feel are worthy of consideration by the Board

Attachment A lists all the Board's regulations, including those that may be recommended for repeal or amendment. The proposed regulation repeals and amendments are shown in Attachment B.

The workshop will be conducted on Monday, March 27, 2023, at 12:00 PM at the following locations:

1755 E Plumb Lane
Suite 258
Reno, NV 89502

241 W Charleston Boulevard
Suite 130
Las Vegas, NV 89702

The workshop will be conducted in accordance with NRS 241.020, Nevada's Open Meeting Law.

AGENDA

1. Call to order
2. Introduction of workshop process
3. Public comment (General public comment on matters within the Board's jurisdiction. May be limited to 5 minutes per speaker.)
4. Public comment on proposed repeal and amendments of Nevada Administrative Code chapter 625 as set out in Attachments A and B

The proposed repeal and amendments to Chapter 625 of the Nevada Administrative Code will provide for the following:

- 1) Eliminate license application fees for active military and active military spouses, and military veterans and military veterans' spouses
- 2) Eliminate state specific exams
- 3) Repeal regulations that are outdated, unnecessary, and/or redundant

Members of the public may make oral comments on the proposed changes at this meeting. Persons wishing to submit written testimony or documentary evidence may submit the material to the following address:

Nevada State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors
1755 East Plumb Lane, Suite 258
Reno, NV 89502
board@boe.state.nv.us

A copy of all materials relating to the proposed regulation changes may be obtained at the workshop or by contacting board@boe.state.nv.us, (775) 688-1231, or on the Board's website at: <https://nvbpels.org/>.

4. Public comment on any other regulatory changes that workshop participants feel are worthy of consideration by the Board.
5. Closing public comment. (General public comment on matters within the Board's jurisdiction. May be limited to 5 minutes per speaker.)
6. Adjournment

Members of the public who require special accommodations or assistance at the workshop are required to notify board@boe.state.nv.us, or in writing to the Nevada State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, 1755 East Plumb Lane, Suite 258, Reno, Nevada, 89502, or by calling (775) 688-1231 at least five (5) working days prior to the date of the public workshop.

A copy of this public workshop notice and supporting materials can be found at Nevada Legislature's web page: <https://www.leg.state.nv.us/App/Notice/A/>

Copies of this meeting agenda and meeting materials may be obtained by downloading from the Board website: <https://nvbpels.org/>, in person, by mail, or by calling the Nevada State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors at (775) 688-1231 in Reno, Nevada.

A copy of this notice and the text of the regulations to be considered by the Board, including those that may be considered for repeal or amendment, has been emailed to all licensees whose email addresses are registered with the Board, and emailed to all persons who have requested in writing that they be placed upon a mailing list, which is maintained by the Board for this purpose.

A copy of this notice has been posted on the Board's website, <https://nvbpels.org/board/meetings/> and at the following location:

Nevada State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors
1755 East Plumb Lane, Suite 258
Reno, NV 89502

And, also posted on the internet at <https://notice.nv.gov>

ATTACHMENTS:

- Attachment A Listing of All Regulations - NAC Chapter 625
- Attachment B Regulations Proposed to be Repealed or Amended
- Attachment C Small Business Impact Statements

ATTACHMENT A

Regulation		Status	Proposed Action
GENERAL PROVISIONS			
625.010	Definitions.	No change	
625.011	“Biennial renewal period” defined.	No change	
625.012	“Board” defined.	No change	
625.0123	“Board Liaison” defined.	No change	
625.0125	“Examination” defined.	No change	
625.013	“Firm” defined.	No change	
625.014	“Licensee” defined.	No change	
625.016	“Professional development hour” defined.	No change	
625.017	“Quarter credit hour” defined.	No change	
625.018	“Respondent” defined.	No change	
625.019	“Semester credit hour” defined.	No change	
625.020	Engineering and land surveying licensed as separate professions.	No change	
STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS			
625.100	Officers: Election; term; vacancies; removal.	No change	
625.110	Meetings.	No change	
625.125	Appointment of members emeriti.	No change	
LICENSURE, CERTIFICATION AND REGISTRATION			
625.210	Application for licensure or certification; fees.	Proposed to Amend	Waive application fees for active military, active military spouses, military veterans and military veteran spouses
625.215	Experience in land surveying.	No change	
625.217	Active experience in engineering.	Added in 2021	
625.220	Disciplines of engineering.	No change	
625.230	Applications for licensure in multiple categories or disciplines.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 1 - Repeal
625.240	Licensure on basis of previous licensure in another jurisdiction; examinations; evaluation of applications; issuance of license.	Proposed to Amend	Waive application fees for active military, active military spouses, military veterans and military veteran spouses
625.260	Licensure as structural engineer required for certain activities; exceptions.	Updated in 2019	
625.310	Examinations: Generally.	Proposed to Amend	Remove Nevada specific exams to streamline and speed licensing process
625.330	Examinations: Notice and duty to appear.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 2 – Repeal
625.410	Expiration and renewal of licensure; fees.	Updated in 2021	
625.420	Retired or inactive status of licensee; reinstatement to active status.	No change	
625.425	Registration of firm: Application; requirements; renewal; fees; notice to Board of certain changes.	Updated in 2021	
CONTINUING EDUCATION			

625.430	Requirements for renewal of license; carry forward of excess professional development hours.	Updated in 2021	
625.440	Exceptions to requirements for renewal of license.	No change	
625.450	Waiver of requirements for renewal of license.	No change	
625.460	Inactive status: Requirements for renewal of identification card.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 5 – Repeal
625.470	Assignment of professional development hours to certain activities.	Updated in 2021	
625.480	Proof of completion of professional development hours; form.	No change	
625.490	Notification of failure to complete required number of professional development hours.	No change	
CODE OF CONDUCT			
625.510	Fundamental principles.	Updated in 2021	
625.520	Relations with the public.	Updated in 2021	
625.530	Relations with employers and clients.	Updated in 2021	
625.540	Relations with other engineers or land surveyors.	Updated in 2021	
625.545	Written contract required for each client.	Updated in 2019	
625.550	Licensee employed by governmental entity: Notification to Board of certain conduct by another licensee.	Updated in 2021	
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS			
625.610	Stamps, seals and signatures on documents; revision of original plans prepared by another licensee.	Updated in 2022	
625.611	Plans, maps and specifications submitted to public authority: Contents; stamps and signatures.	Updated in 2022	
625.612	Reports, studies, test results, certifications and calculations submitted to public authority: Stamps and signatures.	No change	
625.613	Documents prepared by licensee: Inclusion of supplemental information; contents.	Updated in 2022	
625.615	Contact information of licensee: Filing with and use by Board; notice of change.	Updated in 2021	
625.620	Fictitious names.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 3 – Repeal
625.625	Notice of change in licensee's employer, category or discipline.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 4 – Repeal
625.630	Prohibition on advertising for or offering to perform engineering in discipline in which licensee or firm is not licensed.	Updated in 2021	
PRACTICE BEFORE STATE BOARD OF PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS			
Parties			
625.635	Representation of parties; qualifications of attorneys.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 6 – Repeal
Disciplinary Action			
625.640	Procedure for complaints concerning professional misconduct or incompetence.	Updated in 2022	

625.642	Licensee to provide written notice of disciplinary action taken by another entity.	Updated in 2022	
625.645	Conditions of probation.	Updated in 2022	
Advisory Committees			
625.646	Establishment of advisory committee to provide assistance and participate in certain evaluations; members; travel expenses and subsistence allowances.	Updated in 2022	
625.6465	Evaluation and investigation of complaint: Duties of Executive Director; procedures for conference between advisory committee and respondent.	Updated in 2022	
625.647	Evaluation and investigation of complaint: Duties of advisory committee; report to Executive Director and Board Liaison.	Updated in 2022	
625.6475	Evaluation and investigation of complaint: Conference with respondent; effect of refusal of respondent to participate in conference or review of complaint; continuance of conference; hearing de novo.	Updated in 2022	
Miscellaneous Petitions			
625.649	Petitions for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations.	No change	
STANDARDS OF PRACTICE FOR PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS			
General Provisions			
625.651	“Positional certainty” defined.	Technical review	
625.655	Applicability of statutes and regulations.	No change	
625.660	Responsibility for compliance with standards of practice.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 7 – Repeal
625.662	Units of measurement.	Technical review	
625.664	Positional certainty: Minimum confidence level.	Technical review	
625.666	Positional certainty: Horizontal and vertical components of certain land surveys.	Technical review	
625.668	Positional certainty: Horizontal and vertical positions of monuments.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 8 – Repeal
Land Boundary Surveys			
625.670	Required research, identifications, measurements and computations.	Technical review	
625.680	Disagreements concerning measurements or positions of monumented corners.	Technical review	
625.690	Location of corners, boundaries and monuments.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 9 – Repeal
625.700	Report to client of discrepancies concerning boundary lines.	Technical review	
625.710	Identification and description of monuments.	Technical review	
625.720	Drawing of survey; certification.	Technical review	
625.740	Classifications of surveys; use of classifications and requirements for positional certainty.	Technical review	
Construction Surveys			
625.760	Contract drawings and specifications; special instructions.	Technical review	
625.765	Establishment of final location of points.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 10 – Repeal

625.770	Verification of location of certain points; notification of insufficient dimensions or details.	Technical review	
625.775	Positional certainties for marking locations of proposed fixed works.	Technical review	
625.780	Sketches, cut sheets and field notes.	Technical review	
625.785	Verification surveys: Exchange of information.	Technical review	
Miscellaneous Provisions			
625.790	Preparation of legal description of property.	Technical review	
625.795	Duties regarding geographic information systems.	Proposed Repeal	Priority 11 – Repeal

ATTACHMENT B

In support of the Governor's initiative to make Nevada the most military friendly state in the US, the Board voted to waive application fees for active military, active military spouses, military veterans, and military veteran spouses at its July 14, 2021, regular meeting. The proposed regulation change amends the regulation to that effect.

NAC 625.210 Application for licensure or certification; fees. ([NRS 625.140](#), [625.390](#))

1. An applicant shall not give the Executive Director of the Board as a professional reference.
2. Each applicant must complete and transmit a National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying Record that verifies his or her college and postgraduate education, work experience, references and license examinations to the Board.
3. Each applicant, *with the exception of those outlined in section 4*, must pay the following fee, as appropriate, at the time of application:
 - (a) For each application for licensure as a professional engineer or professional land surveyor or for licensure in an additional discipline of engineering, \$25.
 - (b) For each application for certification as an engineer intern or a land surveyor intern, \$50.
4. *Application fees are waived for the following:*
 - (a) *Active military members and active military spouses.*
 - (b) *Military veterans and military veteran spouses.*

[Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs, § 625.210, eff. 8-16-78]—(NAC A 3-18-80; 5-13-82; 12-22-83; 12-9-86; A by Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., 11-20-89; 7-10-92; A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv. by R211-99, 5-10-2000; R115-12, 12-20-2012; R137-20, 4-14-2021)

This regulation is outdated and does not conform to current Board practices. An NCEES Record details all experience of an applicant and a single record can be used for multiple disciplines. Also, several engineering disciplines overlap, such as Electrical Engineering and Control System Engineering or Mechanical Engineering and Fire Protection Engineering. It is not uncommon for an applicant to seek licensure in two similar disciplines. It is therefore unnecessary to require a separate application/NCEES Record for a secondary discipline.

~~[NAC 625.230—Applications for licensure in multiple categories or disciplines. (NRS 625.140)~~

- ~~—1.—An applicant who applies for licensure in more than one discipline of engineering or in both the categories of professional engineer and land surveyor must:
 - ~~—(a)—File a separate application for each additional category or discipline requested and pay the application fee for each additional application filed; and~~
 - ~~—(b)—Complete and transmit separate National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying Records that verify his or her college and postgraduate education, work experience, references and license examinations to the Board for each category or discipline for which he or she is applying.~~~~
- ~~—2.—If an applicant who is not a professional engineer concurrently applies for initial licensure in two or more disciplines of engineering, the Board will not approve the application unless the applicant submits evidence of significant experience, or education and experience, in each of the disciplines.~~
- ~~—3.—The Board generally will not approve an application in an additional discipline of engineering unless the applicant possesses a minimum of 10 years of education and experience.~~
- ~~—4.—The Board may accept a second baccalaureate degree in an approved curriculum in partial satisfaction of the requirements for licensure in an additional discipline of engineering if the applicant clearly shows that he or she possesses significant experience in the additional discipline, but in no case will the Board grant such a license within 6 years after the applicant received his or her first baccalaureate degree.~~
- ~~—5.—An applicant who applies for licensure on the basis of comity in more than one discipline of engineering may be granted licensure in the additional disciplines if the applicant clearly shows in the application that he or she possesses the required education and experience and his or her claims of proficiency are substantiated by an examination offered by the Board.~~

~~[Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs 5-625.230, eff. 8-16-78]—(NAC A by Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., 7-10-92; A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv. by R211-99, 5-10-2000; R073-09, 10-15-2010; R115-12, 12-20-2012; R137-20, 4-14-2021)]~~

In support of the Governor's initiative to make Nevada the most military friendly state in the US, the Board voted to waive application fees for active military, active military spouses, military veterans, and military veteran spouses at its July 14, 2021, regular meeting. In addition, the Board voted to remove the requirement of 1.(b) and replace with an attestation of review of Chapters 625 of NRS and NAC in the application for licensure to expedite the licensure process for endorsement/comity licensure applicants. The proposed changes amend the regulation to that effect.

NAC 625.240 Licensure on basis of previous licensure in another jurisdiction; examinations; evaluation of applications; issuance of license. ([NRS 625.140](#), [625.382](#))

1. An applicant who applies for licensure in this State on the basis of previous licensure in another state, territory, possession of the United States or country that is a signatory to the mobility agreements of the International Engineering Alliance must:

(a) Pay an application fee of \$125, *with the exception of those identified in section 5*, and:

(1) File the required application with the Board; or

(2) Transmit a National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying Record to the Board;

~~[(b) Pass a short written examination on chapter 625 of NRS and the regulations and code of conduct of the Board; and~~

~~(c)]~~(b) Pass an oral examination if required by the Board.

2. After the oral examination, if applicable, the Board may require the applicant to pass another examination acceptable to the Board as a condition precedent to licensure.

3. The Executive Director of the Board may review and evaluate the applications submitted pursuant to this section to determine if the applications satisfy the criteria of a Model Law Engineer or Model Law Surveyor, as set forth by the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying. If the applicant satisfies these requirements, the Executive Director of the Board shall notify the Board and the Board may issue a license to practice professional engineering or land surveying to the applicant.

4. As used in this section, "mobility agreements" includes, without limitation, the APEC Agreement and the International Professional Engineers Agreement.

5. Application fees are waived for the following:

(a) Active military members and active military spouses.

(b) Military veterans and military veteran spouses.

[Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs § 625.240, eff. 8-16-78]—(NAC A by Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., 11-19-85; 11-20-89; A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv. by R211-99, 5-10-2000; R115-12, 12-20-2012; R137-20, 4-14-2021)

The Board proposes updating this regulation in two areas—the structural exam and state-specific exams.

The proposed update related to the structural exam is to clarify the requirements. Prior to taking the structural exam, applicants must take the national fundamentals of engineering exam. This is stated in statute but not in regulation, and it is not specifically addressed in relation to the structural exam. Also, currently the national structural exam is a two-part 16-hour exam,—two separate eight-hour exams, only offered in pencil-and-paper. One exam is for vertical components and the other exam is for horizontal components, and both exams must be passed for licensure as a structural engineer (SE). In the future, the exam will be a computer-based four-part exam—still 16-hours, but offered as four shorter exams. Therefore, the language is proposed to be updated to provide clarity and allow for the upcoming changes to the exam length/format.

The other proposed regulation changes are related to removing the requirements for Nevada specific exams. Removing Nevada specific exams streamlines and expedites the licensing process enabling applicants to begin work in Nevada as soon as the applicant is approved to be licensed. Currently applicants must pass the national fundamentals exam and the professional practice exam, these exams establish minimal competency for entry into professional practice. The state-specific exams are deemed not necessary as their purpose is to make applicants aware of Nevada's laws and regulations related to professional practice. This awareness can be accomplished via alternate methods, such as signing an attestation, or a congratulatory letter following licensure containing the pertinent information.

Licensing data from the last two years shows there is a lag time between license approval and actual licensing. For engineers, the lag time averages 9 days. For land surveyors applying for endorsement license the lag time median is approximately 128 days, an average of 225 days. This licensing lag time can be eliminated by removing the state-specific exams.

NAC 625.310 Examinations: Generally. ([NRS 625.140](#), [625.154](#), [625.193](#), [625.280](#))

~~[1.—The Board will offer Nevada-specific examinations at least once each year. Specific information concerning times and places for scheduled examinations may be obtained from the office of the Board.]~~

~~[2.]~~ 1. The Board will require the passing of the following examinations that are prepared by the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying which meet the requirements for licensure as an engineer:

- (a) The Fundamentals of Engineering, which is a national examination that covers the fundamentals of engineering, unless the requirement is waived by the Board; and
- (b) The Principles and Practice of Engineering, which is a national examination that covers the principles and practice of engineering.

2. The Board will require the passing of the following examinations that are prepared by the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying which meet the requirements for licensure as a structural engineer:

- (a) The Fundamentals of Engineering, which is a national examination that covers the fundamentals of engineering, unless the requirement is waived by the Board; and*
- (b) The Principles and Practice of Structural Engineering, which is a national examination that covers vertical and lateral components for design of buildings.*

3. The Board will require the passing of the following examinations that are prepared by the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying which meet the requirements for licensure as a land surveyor:

- (a) The Fundamentals of Surveying, which is a national examination that covers the fundamentals of land surveying, unless the requirement is waived by the Board; and
- (b) The Principles and Practice of Surveying, which is a national examination that covers the principles and practice of land surveying.

~~[4.—The Board will require the passing of a short examination on this chapter and chapter 625 of NRS.~~

~~5.—In addition to the examination set forth in subsection 4, the Board will prepare and offer a 2-hour examination that covers the laws of this State and the procedures for the practice of land surveying. The Board will offer this examination at least once each year.~~

~~6.4.—The examination to become a structural engineer is a 16-hour examination which is composed of two parts, each of which lasts 8 hours.]~~

[Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs, § 625.310, eff. 8-16-78]—(NAC A by Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., 3-18-80; 12-9-87; 7-10-92; A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv. by R211-99, 5-10-2000; R073-09, 10-15-2010; R115-12, 12-20-2012; R137-20, 4-14-2021)

This regulation is recommended to be repealed as it is unnecessary. If an applicant chooses not to appear for an examination scheduled by the Board, the only harm is to the applicant. This is really common sense and does not need to be stated in a regulation.

~~**[NAC 625.330—Examinations: Notice and duty to appear. (NRS 625.140)**~~

~~—1.—If the Board schedules an examination for an applicant, the Board must send to that applicant a notice of the time and place to appear before the Board for the examination.~~

~~—2.—An applicant who is sent a notice shall appear before the Board in accordance with the schedule established by the Board.~~

~~—[Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs, § 625.330, eff. 8-16-78]—(NAC A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv. by R211-99, 5-10-2000; R115-12, 12-20-2012; R137-20, 4-14-2021)]~~

This regulation is proposed to be repealed. A licensed professional should not need to be reminded in regulation that being in responsible charge of work means adhering to the statutes and regulations relating to their profession and the work performed.

~~[NAC 625.660—Responsibility for compliance with standards of practice. (NRS 625.140, 625.250)]~~

~~Responsibility for adherence to the minimum standards of practice for engaging in the practice of land surveying rests with the professional land surveyor in responsible charge of the work. Failure on the part of any Nevada professional land surveyor to comply with these minimum standards may be considered by the Board as evidence of gross negligence, professional incompetence or misconduct in the practice of land surveying.~~

~~— (Added to NAC by Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., eff. 7-18-88; A 7-10-92; A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., 11-14-97).]~~

This regulation is proposed to be repealed. The regulation restates what is written in NAC 625.666 and therefore it is redundant and is not necessary.

~~[NAC 625.668 — Positional certainty: Horizontal and vertical positions of monuments. (NRS 625.140, 625.250)]~~

~~When conducting a land boundary, topographic, control or geodetic survey, a professional land surveyor shall ensure that the horizontal and vertical positions of the monuments established by the surveyor comply with the requirements for positional certainty set forth in NAC 625.666.~~

~~— (Added to NAC by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., eff. 11-14-97)]~~

This regulation is proposed to be repealed. Item 1 states the obvious—that is the duty of a professional land surveyor. Item 2 is already stated in NRS 625.380.

~~[NAC 625.690—Location of corners, boundaries and monuments. (NRS 625.140, 625.250)]~~

~~—1.—The professional land surveyor shall make a final analysis and reach a conclusion as to the most probable location of corner positions and boundary lines.~~

~~—2.—A professional land surveyor shall set monuments pursuant to the provisions of NRS 625.380 and all applicable local ordinances.~~

~~—(Added to NAC by Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., eff. 7-18-88; A 7-10-92; A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., 11-14-97).]~~

This regulation is proposed to be repealed. This regulation is not necessary. Positional certainty related to construction surveys is addressed in other regulations.

~~[NAC 625.765—Establishment of final location of points. (NRS 625.140, 625.250)]~~

~~When conducting a construction survey, a professional land surveyor shall establish the final location of points within positional certainties which ensure that the proposed fixed works may be properly constructed.~~

~~—(Added to NAC by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., eff. 11-14-97)]~~

This regulation is recommended to be repealed. The regulation is outdated and no longer applicable/necessary.

~~[NAC 625.795—Duties regarding geographic information systems. (NRS 625.140, 625.250)]~~

~~—1.—When contributing information to a geographic information system, a professional land surveyor must include for use as metadata a statement describing the positional certainty of each type of information contributed to the system by the professional land surveyor.~~

~~—2.—When advising the developers of a geographic information system, a professional land surveyor must make recommendations concerning the appropriate methods for:~~

~~—(a)—Conducting a survey for the development of the system; and~~

~~—(b)—Compiling data for the contribution of additional information to the system after it is developed.~~

~~—3.—A professional land surveyor shall comply with the provisions of NAC 625.651 to 625.795, inclusive, when conducting surveys to collect information that will be included in a geographic information system.~~

~~—4.—As used in this section:~~

~~—(a)—“Geographic information system” means a collection of computer hardware, software and data that is used for the collection, management, manipulation, analysis and display of information that includes a positional component.~~

~~—(b)—“Metadata” means data that describes information used to describe an object.~~

~~—(Added to NAC by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., eff. 11-14-97).]~~

This regulation is outdated and proposed to be repealed. The Board's online license renewal system (put into operation in 2015) clearly states the license expiration date, whether a licensee chooses active or inactive status at time of license renewal. The second sentence is already covered by NAC 625.420.

~~[NAC 625.460—Inactive status: Requirements for renewal of identification card. (NRS 625.140, 625.398)]~~

~~The identification card issued to a licensee who has changed his or her status to inactive status pursuant to NAC 625.420 expires on the same date as his or her license would expire if the licensee were on active status. To renew the identification card, a licensee who has changed his or her status to inactive pursuant to NAC 625.420 must comply with the requirements of NAC 625.430 in the same manner as a licensee who is on active status.~~

~~— (Added to NAC by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., eff. 11-14-97)]~~

This regulation is outdated and proposed to be repealed. The Board's online firm registration process requests the same information that would be included in a "certificate of fictitious name". The Board requires a Nevada Business License as part of its firm registration and in obtaining a Nevada Business License, a firm would be required to file a certificate of fictitious name in the form required by chapter 602 of NRS with the Nevada Secretary of State's Office.

~~[NAC 625.620 Fictitious names. (NRS 625.140)]~~

~~Any licensee or firm which conducts business under an assumed or fictitious name or designation and which does not show the real name of the firm or names of the persons engaged or interested in the business shall file with the Board a certificate of fictitious name in the form required by chapter 602 of NRS within 30 days after the first use of the name or designation.~~

~~—[Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs § 625.620, eff. 8-16-78]—(NAC A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv. by R077-09 & R078-09, 10-15-2010).]~~

This regulation is outdated and recommended for repeal. The intent of 1. (a), contact information, is already addressed in NAC 625.615, and any change relating to discipline of engineering or land surveying is information that is collected at the time of license renewal and no additional notification is required.

~~[NAC 625.625 — Notice of change in licensee's employer, category or discipline. (NRS 625.140)~~

- ~~—1.— If any information on file with the Board concerning a licensee's:~~
 - ~~—(a)— Employer; or~~
 - ~~—(b)— Category or discipline of engineering or land surveying;~~
- ~~→ changes, the licensee shall submit written notice of the change to the Board within 30 days. The notice must include any change of the contact information of the licensee's principal place of business.~~
- ~~—2.— As used in this section, "contact information" means the address, telephone number and electronic mail address of the licensee's principal place of business.~~

~~(Added to NAC by Bd. of Reg'd Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., eff. 10-11-91; A by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv. by R137-20, 4-14-2021.)~~

It is proposed that this regulation be repealed. The regulation states the obvious and it does not need to be in regulation, especially in consideration, as stated in item 1, a party can be represented by any person he/she designates.

~~[NAC 625.635—Representation of parties; qualifications of attorneys. (NRS 625.140)]~~

~~—1.—A party may, in any proceeding before the Board, represent himself or herself or be represented by an attorney or any other person he or she designates.~~

~~—2.—If a party chooses to be represented by an attorney, the attorney must be admitted to practice and in good standing before the highest court of any state. If the attorney is not admitted and entitled to practice before the Supreme Court of Nevada, he or she must associate with an attorney who is so admitted and entitled to practice.~~

~~—(Added to NAC by Bd. of Professional Eng'rs & Land Surv., eff. 11-14-97).]~~



Category 1: Proposed Repeal or Amendments of Regulations Relating to Licensure and Examinations

Small Business Impact Statement

Small Business Impact Statement for proposed repeals and amendments to regulations relating to:

Applications – NAC 625.210; 625.230;

Licensing – NAC 625.240

Examinations – NAC 625.310; NAC 625.330

Summary

The State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors has determined that the proposed repeals and amendments will have no negative financial impact on a small business and in some circumstances will likely have a beneficial impact. The proposed regulations have no negative impact on the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business in Nevada.

A small business is defined in Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 233B as a “business conducted for profit which employs fewer than 150 full-time or part-time employees.”

This small business impact statement was created pursuant to NRS 233B.0608(3) and complies with the requirements of NRS 233B.0609. As required by NRS 233B.0608(3), this statement identifies the methods used by the agency in determining the impact of the proposed regulations on a small business and provides the reasons for the conclusions of the agency followed by certification by the agency’s responsible person.

Background

The proposed repeals and amendments reduce regulations, speed the licensing process, and reduce costs for professionals seeking a license as a professional engineer or land surveyor in Nevada. The rationale for each of the proposed repeals and amendments is described in the following:

Applications

NAC 625.210

→ Recommending the addition of application fee waiver for active military members and active military spouses, military veterans and military veteran spouses in support of Nevada’s initiative to become the most military friendly state in the US.

NAC 625.230

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation, because requiring a separate application/NCEES Record is redundant and not necessary for seeking a license in a secondary discipline.

Licensing

NAC 625.240

→ Recommending the addition of application fee waiver for active military members and active military spouses, military veterans and military veteran spouses in support of Nevada's initiative to become the most military friendly state in the US.

→ Recommending the removal of requirement to pass a written examination on Chapters 625 of NRS and NAC and replace it with an attestation pertaining to review of Chapters 625 of NRS and NAC in the application for licensure to speed the licensing process for endorsement/comity license applicants.

Examinations

625.310

→ Recommending adding clarifying language regarding the structural exam requirements. Prior to taking the structural exam, applicants must take the national fundamentals of engineering exam. The language is proposed to be updated to provide clarity and allow for the upcoming changes to the exam length/format.

→ Recommending removing the requirement for a Nevada specific exam. Eliminates an unnecessary requirement and speeds the licensing process, resulting in new workers getting licensed sooner and being able to offer and provide services in Nevada much quicker.

625.330

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation as it is unnecessary. If an applicant chooses not to appear for an examination scheduled by the Board, the only harm is to the applicant.

Manner in which comments were solicited, response summary, and explanation of how interested parties may obtain a copy of summary

Referencing the requirements of NRS 233B.0608, the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors requested input via a survey link sent to all Nevada licensed engineers and land surveyors, and those signed up to receive news and information from the board. The emailed survey link was sent to 18,500 individuals.

The survey asked for input on adverse/beneficial economic effects on small businesses, and indirect adverse/beneficial effects – with space to elaborate on responses.

A summary of the survey results is available for viewing on the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors website (see link below) and are included as an attachment.

<https://nvbpels.org/business-impact-survey-results-2023/>

Manner in which the analysis was conducted

Survey results were initially reviewed for general comments to gauge if the intent of the proposed regulation changes was adequately conveyed.

Survey analysis then focused on “YES” responses relating the direct and indirect adverse economic effects, and the associated comments and explanations to determine the individual concerns. The same was done with survey results for the direct and indirect beneficial impacts.

1. Estimated economic effects of the proposed regulation on small businesses

Based on the survey results, there are no adverse economic impacts related to the proposed repeal and amendment of regulations relating licensure and examinations.

2. Considerations were made to reduce impact of proposed regulation

The proposed repeal and amendment of regulations relating to licensure and examinations was put forward to lessen the regulatory burden on those applying for professional licensure in the state. No adverse impacts were identified that warranted additional consideration.

3. Cost estimate for agency enforcement

At this time there would be no additional cost to the regulatory board to enforce the proposed amendments. Any issues relating to compliance would be absorbed into the existing workload of the current staffing levels.

4. New fees or increases in existing fees

The proposed amendments do not involve an increase to existing fees or create any new fees.

5. Are any duplicative or more stringent provisions involved

There are no federal regulations associated with professional engineers and land surveyors. However, every state and US territory regulates the professions of engineering and land surveying.

6. Summary of conclusions

The proposed repeal and amendment of regulations relating to licensure and examinations will have no adverse impacts on small businesses.

Certification by Person Responsible for the Agency

I, Patty Mamola, Executive Director of the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors certify to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed amendments to regulation on small business, and the information contained in this statement was prepared properly and is accurate.



March 9, 2023

DRAFT




Category 1 - Business impacts related to proposed amendments or repeal of regulations related licensure and examinations.

Small Business Impact Survey Results

Q1 Type of Business (primary service offered)


Answered: 252 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Engineering		86.90%	219
Land Surveying		11.11%	28
Architectural		0.00%	0
Contractor		1.98%	5
TOTAL			252

Q2 Number of Full-Time Employees


Answered: 252 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
>150	35.32%	89
<150	64.68%	163
TOTAL		252

Q3 Business Managing Office Location


Answered: 251 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
in Nevada	37.05%	93
other US State	62.55%	157
outside US	0.40%	1
TOTAL		251

Q4 Will a specific proposed change or repeal of the regulations relating to
licensure and examinations have a direct adverse economic effect on your
business?

Answered: 163 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		5.52%	9
No		94.48%	154
TOTAL			163

Q5 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 4.

Answered: 84 Skipped: 79

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Eliminating the application fee for military/veteran persons and spouses should not adversely impact the company where I am employed	2/22/2023 4:26 PM
2	None	2/22/2023 2:18 PM
3	No comments.	2/20/2023 5:01 PM
4	Requiring passing the SE for all types of structural engineering is excessive. We support requiring passing the SE exam for structural engineering of Risk Category III and IV structures and structures 4 stories or more. Requiring the higher SE exam standard for lower risk, low rise buildings adds a barrier for those licensed by comity as approximately 45 states do not require passing the SE exam for performing structural engineering (and only one state requires passing the SE exam for doing all structural engineering - the others only require the SE for doing cat III/IV and tall structures).	2/19/2023 7:50 AM
5	I would not anticipate any impact on our business.	2/17/2023 10:07 AM
6	I'm a veteran.	2/16/2023 8:32 PM
7	There may also be a direct adverse effect to life safety	2/15/2023 5:06 PM
8	None	2/15/2023 2:31 PM
9	No effect.	2/15/2023 12:59 PM
10	none	2/15/2023 10:42 AM
11	Removing barriers to licensure will incrementally benefit our business.	2/15/2023 7:18 AM
12	Proposed changes seem appropriate.	2/15/2023 7:17 AM
13	None	2/14/2023 9:09 PM
14	waiving fees to military isn't a bad thing. The bad part is when everyone else has to pay more to subsidize the special group. Too much of this nowadays. Everyone pays more to give some other special group something for free.	2/14/2023 5:32 PM
15	No	2/14/2023 12:49 PM
16	The path to licensure should be simplified as we believe there is a shortage of licensed professionals due to some of the regulations that would qualify you for licensure.	2/14/2023 12:15 PM
17	no	2/14/2023 11:24 AM
18	I think the examination on Nevada law is still a good idea. I can only assume removing that requirement is due to making reviewing the law a requirement for PDHs.	2/14/2023 8:30 AM
19	One group or another should not be waived for paying fees for licensure. This could have a long term effect of raising rates for all other applicants to compensate for the loss of fees.	2/14/2023 7:38 AM
20	No	2/13/2023 4:07 PM
21	By removing the requirement for examination we are not protecting the profession or the public by testing for minimum competency of the laws and practices pertaining to the profession. By allowing for individuals who are not well vetted by a fair and consistent examination process (which an oral review by itself is not)IMO we are opening the door for less than competent persons to masacaraed as professionals and undermine the strength and integrity of the profession.	2/13/2023 1:54 PM
22	no	2/13/2023 1:26 PM

Category 1 - Business impacts related to proposed amendments or repeal of regulations related licensure and examinations.


23	All Licensed Professional should be required to pass a written exam pertaining to State Law's and Ethics to be licensed in Nevada!!	2/13/2023 12:38 PM
24	None.	2/13/2023 12:00 PM
25	no	2/13/2023 9:27 AM
26	Granting fee waivers to any class of applicants or licensee ultimately raises the costs for others. While I applaud the contributions of members of the military, they took the job voluntarily, and there needs to be a limit on the secondary benefits extended. Let the Federal Government determine the full compensation and benefits to be extended to the military.	2/13/2023 8:54 AM
27	No	2/13/2023 7:39 AM
28	none	2/12/2023 4:41 PM
29	None	2/12/2023 3:26 PM
30	The changes are minimal. The State can require Ethics for State Regulations as part of continuing education, as many other states do.	2/11/2023 9:33 AM
31	no	2/10/2023 3:46 PM
32	I think it is important that the applicant/professional be acquainted with local regulations and practices. this exam makes it that the candidate at least has to review regulation prior to being licensed and start practicing in the state.	2/10/2023 2:12 PM
33	none	2/10/2023 1:51 PM
34	no	2/10/2023 1:45 PM
35	No	2/10/2023 12:59 PM
36	None	2/10/2023 12:42 PM
37	Requiring examination for PEs from another state will make it more difficult for engineers from other states to get licensed.	2/10/2023 11:19 AM
38	none	2/10/2023 11:04 AM
39	As a veteran I could have benefited from the changes but since I am already licensed it doesn't make any difference.	2/10/2023 10:54 AM
40	No	2/10/2023 10:31 AM
41	NA	2/10/2023 10:14 AM
42	none	2/10/2023 10:05 AM
43	The military should be supported.	2/10/2023 9:45 AM
44	I have retired, and have closed my structural engineering office.	2/10/2023 9:27 AM
45	No	2/10/2023 9:27 AM
46	No proposed changes in this section would effect our current business practices	2/10/2023 9:24 AM
47	No	2/10/2023 9:19 AM
48	N/a	2/10/2023 9:09 AM
49	no	2/10/2023 9:06 AM
50	I think it is important that the take-home exam on the licensing laws in NV be continued. From my experience there are subtle differences in the laws from state to state, e.g., NV allows LLCs, CA does not. NV uses qualifications based selection with no fees/costs. Not true in many states.	2/10/2023 9:04 AM
51	no	2/10/2023 9:01 AM
52	N/A	2/10/2023 8:52 AM
53	I work for the Nevada State Engineer.	2/10/2023 8:20 AM

Category 1 - Business impacts related to proposed amendments or repeal of regulations related licensure and examinations.

54	No.	2/10/2023 8:17 AM
55	No	2/10/2023 7:46 AM
56	Changes look fine, should not affect our business	2/10/2023 7:35 AM
57	..	2/10/2023 7:05 AM
58	No	2/10/2023 6:51 AM
59	I agree	2/10/2023 6:45 AM
60	No Comment	2/10/2023 6:27 AM
61	Changes proposed seem reasonable and would not impact our business.	2/10/2023 6:13 AM
62	NO	2/10/2023 5:49 AM
63	NONE	2/10/2023 5:47 AM
64	The elimination of 625.310 Paragraphs 4 and 5 is a mistake. To allow anyone that has passed the national exams an automatic path to licensure in this state without an exam reviewing the laws of this state is an open invitation to the excuse of any error in surveys being conducted as this is how I survey back home.	2/10/2023 5:42 AM
65	Eliminating unnecessary steps toward obtaining a similar additional discipline is helpful. Granting fee waivers to military, et al is a great way of saying thanks and helping the transition to civilian life.	2/10/2023 5:26 AM
66	Typical cost of doing business.	2/10/2023 5:22 AM
67	No	2/10/2023 5:21 AM
68	None	2/10/2023 3:52 AM
69	no comment	2/10/2023 3:34 AM
70	Nope	2/10/2023 2:42 AM
71	Glad to see these overdue, common sense revisions!	2/9/2023 11:18 PM
72	Lower wage out of state engineers will drive down rates	2/9/2023 9:32 PM
73	No	2/9/2023 9:01 PM
74	No	2/9/2023 8:26 PM
75	N/a	2/9/2023 7:54 PM
76	None	2/9/2023 7:44 PM
77	None	2/9/2023 7:01 PM
78	N/A	2/9/2023 6:41 PM
79	None	2/9/2023 6:37 PM
80	No	2/9/2023 6:23 PM
81	Half of our employees licensed in Nevada are veterans. This is great.	2/9/2023 5:44 PM
82	None	2/9/2023 5:40 PM
83	No	2/9/2023 5:27 PM
84	No	2/9/2023 3:42 PM

Q6 Will a specific proposed change or repeal of the regulations relating to
licensure and examinations have a direct beneficial effect on your
business?

Answered: 163 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	14.72%	24
No	85.28%	139
TOTAL		163

Q7 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 6.

Answered: 79 Skipped: 84

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Only the elimination of the application fee for military affiliated engineers. That does not amount to a significant impact to the firm.	2/22/2023 4:26 PM
2	None	2/22/2023 2:18 PM
3	No comments.	2/20/2023 5:01 PM
4	Waiving licensing fees for veterans will save the business in licensing fees for veterans. These fees are negligible to our business at this time.	2/20/2023 12:16 PM
5	We are one of the few out of state firms with engineers who have passed the SE - many of our competitors have just PEs not SEs We also do MEP, which has lots of overlap, removing the specific license for each category makes sense.	2/19/2023 7:50 AM
6	Exam availability.	2/17/2023 5:16 PM
7	I would not anticipate any impact on our business.	2/17/2023 10:07 AM
8	Reduced costs.	2/16/2023 8:32 PM
9	None	2/15/2023 2:31 PM
10	Already licensed. Irrelevant.	2/15/2023 12:59 PM
11	none	2/15/2023 10:42 AM
12	Our veterans eligible for licensure may benefit.	2/15/2023 7:18 AM
13	Will streamline licensing.	2/15/2023 7:17 AM
14	None	2/14/2023 9:09 PM
15	Same comment as before	2/14/2023 5:32 PM
16	None	2/14/2023 12:49 PM
17	We feel we might be able to provide our clients with additional licensed staff and have more leaders in responsible charge of work training the LSIT's	2/14/2023 12:15 PM
18	no	2/14/2023 11:24 AM
19	No	2/13/2023 4:07 PM
20	There is no benefit to promoting less qualified persons to professional status by eliminating the examination.	2/13/2023 1:54 PM
21	no	2/13/2023 1:26 PM
22	I disagree that removing the State Exam for new applicants and reciprocity applicants. Individuals Licensed in our state should be required to know NRS 625 & NAC 625 as well as NRS 328. In addition, the applicants should be tested basic Ethics related to Land Surveying and Engineering. a percentage of our continuing education requirements now require a certain number of courses on ethics. We should be testing applicants about ethics especially if we require it for renewal of licensure.	2/13/2023 12:38 PM
23	None.	2/13/2023 12:00 PM
24	no	2/13/2023 9:27 AM
25	It may enable us to hire ex-military personnel whom otherwise wouldnt bother with the profession due to not meeting licensing requirements.	2/13/2023 7:39 AM

Category 1 - Business impacts related to proposed amendments or repeal of regulations related licensure and examinations.


26	none	2/12/2023 4:41 PM
27	None	2/12/2023 3:26 PM
28	The changes are minimal	2/11/2023 9:33 AM
29	no	2/10/2023 3:46 PM
30	No impact at this time. It will have a beneficial impact the next time one of our employees submits a PE comity application.	2/10/2023 3:10 PM
31	none	2/10/2023 1:51 PM
32	no	2/10/2023 1:45 PM
33	No	2/10/2023 12:59 PM
34	None	2/10/2023 12:42 PM
35	More trained engineers will be available for temporary or permanent employment.	2/10/2023 12:07 PM
36	none	2/10/2023 11:04 AM
37	No impact.	2/10/2023 10:54 AM
38	No	2/10/2023 10:31 AM
39	NA	2/10/2023 10:14 AM
40	none	2/10/2023 10:05 AM
41	Any support we can give to the military is good.	2/10/2023 9:45 AM
42	No	2/10/2023 9:27 AM
43	Allowing the structural exam to be in four parts is beneficial.	2/10/2023 9:25 AM
44	More standardized approach and exam focused approach will make it easier for future applications	2/10/2023 9:24 AM
45	We do have Veteran employees.	2/10/2023 9:19 AM
46	N/A	2/10/2023 9:09 AM
47	no	2/10/2023 9:06 AM
48	no	2/10/2023 9:01 AM
49	No	2/10/2023 8:52 AM
50	No.	2/10/2023 8:17 AM
51	None	2/10/2023 7:35 AM
52	.	2/10/2023 7:05 AM
53	No	2/10/2023 6:51 AM
54	No Comment	2/10/2023 6:27 AM
55	No impact change	2/10/2023 6:13 AM
56	NA	2/10/2023 5:49 AM
57	NONE	2/10/2023 5:47 AM
58	none	2/10/2023 5:42 AM
59	Any reduction in regulations is a good step.	2/10/2023 5:26 AM
60	Typical cost of doing business.	2/10/2023 5:22 AM
61	No	2/10/2023 5:21 AM
62	None	2/10/2023 3:52 AM

Category 1 - Business impacts related to proposed amendments or repeal of regulations related licensure and examinations.

63	no comment	2/10/2023 3:34 AM
64	Nope	2/10/2023 2:42 AM
65	As a military retiree, I appreciate this initiative	2/9/2023 11:18 PM
66	No	2/9/2023 9:01 PM
67	No	2/9/2023 8:26 PM
68	N/a	2/9/2023 7:54 PM
69	none	2/9/2023 7:44 PM
70	None	2/9/2023 7:01 PM
71	Not having to notify the board of employment or contact information changes beyond license renewal makes things easier.	2/9/2023 6:41 PM
72	Easier to get reciprocity	2/9/2023 6:41 PM
73	None	2/9/2023 6:37 PM
74	No	2/9/2023 6:23 PM
75	We would support recognition of the discipline of building architectural engineering which has been recognized with a NCESS exam for 20 years now	2/9/2023 5:47 PM
76	We did not note whether or not this change would include all licensure.	2/9/2023 5:44 PM
77	Veterans like myself would benefit	2/9/2023 5:40 PM
78	No.	2/9/2023 5:27 PM
79	No	2/9/2023 3:42 PM

Q8 Do you anticipate any indirect adverse effects from the proposed
changes or repeal on your business?

Answered: 163 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		7.36%	12
No		92.64%	151
TOTAL			163

Q9 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 8.

Answered: 66 Skipped: 97

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The proposed change could increase the number of Professionally licensed engineers in the state by eliminating the application fee for those affiliated with the military.	2/22/2023 4:26 PM
2	None	2/22/2023 2:18 PM
3	No comments.	2/20/2023 5:01 PM
4	no comment.	2/16/2023 8:32 PM
5	With the removal of fees for veterans and active duty military the costs of administration, which will not be similarly reduced, will be borne by fewer licensees so the fees to the remaining licensees will increase. I would expect fees to rise.	2/15/2023 5:07 PM
6	None	2/15/2023 2:31 PM
7	No effect.	2/15/2023 12:59 PM
8	none	2/15/2023 10:42 AM
9	No comment.	2/15/2023 7:17 AM
10	None	2/14/2023 9:09 PM
11	I wish I was special somehow. I'm just a normal guy, paying extra on everything so other people don't have to carry their own weight.	2/14/2023 5:32 PM
12	None	2/14/2023 12:49 PM
13	no	2/14/2023 11:24 AM
14	No	2/13/2023 4:07 PM
15	More time and money will be spent by cleaning up after persons that do not understand the laws and practices. Also undermining the public confidence in the work of the professional. In Nevada we passed the 4 year degree before licensure and then you are proposing to eliminate the exam that would identify any holes in the training that a person should have in order to become a professional. This does not make sense.	2/13/2023 1:54 PM
16	no	2/13/2023 1:26 PM
17	None.	2/13/2023 12:00 PM
18	no	2/13/2023 9:27 AM
19	Increased costs.	2/13/2023 8:54 AM
20	No	2/13/2023 7:39 AM
21	NCEES does not catch applicants 'double-dipping' on experience. This is not a serious problem on related disciplines, it is however a problem with PE/LS applicants.	2/13/2023 6:57 AM
22	I am licensed in many states and while I hate taking exams related to the state statutes, I think they are necessary for someone to feel like they have the knowledge necessary to work in the state.	2/12/2023 3:26 PM
23	no	2/10/2023 3:46 PM
24	There should be no adverse effect as the revisions are common sense, military benefits, and practicing PE are required to review the laws and rules as part of renewal requirements.	2/10/2023 3:10 PM
25	none	2/10/2023 1:51 PM

Category 1 - Business impacts related to proposed amendments or repeal of regulations related licensure and examinations.


26	no	2/10/2023 1:45 PM
27	No	2/10/2023 12:59 PM
28	None	2/10/2023 12:42 PM
29	none	2/10/2023 11:04 AM
30	The changes to the exam requirements for structural engineers: NAC 625.310 2. leaves out existing structural engineers that passed Western States exam or other non NCEES exams prior to NCEES creating the structural exams. Need to allow for the alternative exams.	2/10/2023 10:54 AM
31	No	2/10/2023 10:31 AM
32	NA	2/10/2023 10:14 AM
33	none	2/10/2023 10:05 AM
34	No	2/10/2023 9:27 AM
35	No changes seem like they would negatively impact our business	2/10/2023 9:24 AM
36	NO	2/10/2023 9:19 AM
37	None	2/10/2023 9:09 AM
38	no	2/10/2023 9:06 AM
39	no	2/10/2023 9:01 AM
40	No	2/10/2023 8:52 AM
41	No.	2/10/2023 8:17 AM
42	No	2/10/2023 7:35 AM
43	.	2/10/2023 7:05 AM
44	No	2/10/2023 6:51 AM
45	No Comment	2/10/2023 6:27 AM
46	no comment	2/10/2023 6:13 AM
47	NA	2/10/2023 5:49 AM
48	NONE	2/10/2023 5:47 AM
49	Opening licensure to anyone that passed the NCEES exam will allow more PLS in the state.	2/10/2023 5:42 AM
50	already licensed.	2/10/2023 5:26 AM
51	No	2/10/2023 5:21 AM
52	None	2/10/2023 3:52 AM
53	no comment	2/10/2023 3:34 AM
54	Nope	2/10/2023 2:42 AM
55	None	2/9/2023 11:18 PM
56	No	2/9/2023 9:01 PM
57	No	2/9/2023 8:26 PM
58	N/a	2/9/2023 7:54 PM
59	None	2/9/2023 7:01 PM
60	N/A	2/9/2023 6:41 PM
61	None	2/9/2023 6:37 PM
62	No	2/9/2023 6:23 PM

Category 1 - Business impacts related to proposed amendments or repeal of regulations related
licensure and examinations.

63	None	2/9/2023 5:44 PM
64	None	2/9/2023 5:40 PM
65	No.	2/9/2023 5:27 PM
66	No	2/9/2023 3:42 PM

Q10 Do you anticipate any indirect beneficial effects on your business from
the proposed changes or repeal?

Answered: 162 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		11.11%	18
No		88.89%	144
TOTAL			162

Q11 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 10.

Answered: 58 Skipped: 105

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	None	2/22/2023 2:18 PM
2	No comments.	2/20/2023 5:01 PM
3	Since I'm a veteran, it will reduce my expenses.	2/16/2023 8:32 PM
4	None	2/15/2023 2:31 PM
5	No effect.	2/15/2023 12:59 PM
6	none	2/15/2023 10:42 AM
7	The shortage of licensed engineers and surveyors in Nevada is real and becoming more significant with time; particularly, PLS licensure should be encouraged in every way possible for qualified candidates.	2/15/2023 7:18 AM
8	No comment.	2/15/2023 7:17 AM
9	None	2/14/2023 9:09 PM
10	Regulations don't benefit my business.	2/14/2023 5:32 PM
11	None	2/14/2023 12:49 PM
12	no	2/14/2023 11:24 AM
13	No	2/13/2023 4:07 PM
14	There cannot be any benefits to not vetting fairly and consistently persons desiring to be professionals in a field.	2/13/2023 1:54 PM
15	no	2/13/2023 1:26 PM
16	None.	2/13/2023 12:00 PM
17	no	2/13/2023 9:27 AM
18	No	2/13/2023 7:39 AM
19	It may make finding new engineers easier	2/13/2023 7:27 AM
20	simplifying redundant language and requirements is always good	2/12/2023 4:41 PM
21	This change will make it as simple as put in an application and get a survey license. That is not protecting the public.	2/12/2023 3:26 PM
22	no	2/10/2023 3:46 PM
23	none	2/10/2023 1:51 PM
24	no	2/10/2023 1:45 PM
25	No	2/10/2023 12:59 PM
26	None	2/10/2023 12:42 PM
27	More engineers available for temporary or permanent employment. Also note COE will have engineers involved in Nevada projects, and they will be able to be certified here.	2/10/2023 12:07 PM
28	none	2/10/2023 11:04 AM
29	No	2/10/2023 10:54 AM
30	nA	2/10/2023 10:14 AM

Category 1 - Business impacts related to proposed amendments or repeal of regulations related licensure and examinations.

31	none	2/10/2023 10:05 AM
32	If the military is helped, everyone in America is helped.	2/10/2023 9:45 AM
33	No	2/10/2023 9:27 AM
34	None	2/10/2023 9:19 AM
35	Clearer process to receive licensure as an engineer.	2/10/2023 9:09 AM
36	no	2/10/2023 9:06 AM
37	I oppose ALL of the proposed changes to the NAC as outlined in the preview. State specific licensure is important as all applicants need to demonstrate knowledge of NAC as well as follow the provisions of the blue book. I dont believe the application fees would be of any particular burden to any member of the military seeking application to become an engineer. I believe short-cutting of any of the application requirements/provisions in Nevada may lead to licensure of less-qualified individuals than the profession requires and think it is a bad idea. I do not support Governor Lombardo's order. Hugh Ezzell, CE	2/10/2023 9:01 AM
38	No	2/10/2023 8:52 AM
39	None	2/10/2023 7:35 AM
40	.	2/10/2023 7:05 AM
41	No	2/10/2023 6:51 AM
42	No comment	2/10/2023 6:27 AM
43	NA	2/10/2023 5:49 AM
44	NONE	2/10/2023 5:47 AM
45	none	2/10/2023 5:42 AM
46	A simpler methodology for obtaining a similar discipline.	2/10/2023 5:26 AM
47	None	2/10/2023 3:52 AM
48	Nope	2/10/2023 2:42 AM
49	Easier to convince military veterans to seek NV registration	2/9/2023 11:18 PM
50	No	2/9/2023 9:01 PM
51	N/a	2/9/2023 7:54 PM
52	None	2/9/2023 7:01 PM
53	N/A	2/9/2023 6:41 PM
54	None	2/9/2023 6:37 PM
55	This might encourage more applicants but I doubt it.	2/9/2023 5:44 PM
56	A veteran friendly state is always a benefit.	2/9/2023 5:40 PM
57	No.	2/9/2023 5:27 PM
58	No	2/9/2023 3:42 PM



Category 2: Proposed Repeals or Amendments of Regulations Relating to Professional Land Surveying

Small Business Impact Statement

Small Business Impact Statement for proposed repeals and amendments to regulations relating to:

Professional Land Surveying – NAC 625.660; 625.668; NAC 625.690; 625.765; 625.795;

Summary

The State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors has determined that the proposed repeals and amendments will have no negative financial impact on a small business and in some circumstances will likely have a beneficial impact. The proposed regulations have no impact on the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business in Nevada.

A small business is defined in Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 233B as a “business conducted for profit which employs fewer than 150 full-time or part-time employees.”

This small business impact statement was created pursuant to NRS 233B.0608(3) and complies with the requirements of NRS 233B.0609. As required by NRS 233B.0608(3), this statement identifies the methods used by the agency in determining the impact of the proposed regulations on a small business and provides the reasons for the conclusions of the agency followed by certification by the agency’s responsible person.

Background

The proposed repeals remove outdated or redundant regulations. The rationale for each of the proposed repeals is described in the following:

Professional Land Surveying

NAC 625.660

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation because a professional should not need to be reminded in the regulation that being in responsible charge of work means adhering to the statutes and regulations relating to their profession and the work performed.

NAC 625.668

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation as it restates what is written in NAC 625.666. It is redundant and not necessary.

NAC 625.690

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation as it states the obvious—that is the duty of a professional land surveyor.

NAC 625.765

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation because positional certainty related to construction surveys is addressed in other regulations.

NAC 625.795

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation because it is outdated and no longer applicable or necessary.

Manner in which comments were solicited, response summary, and explanation of how interested parties may obtain a copy of summary

Referencing the requirements of NRS 233B.0608, the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors requested input via a survey link sent to all Nevada licensed engineers and land surveyors, and those signed up to receive news and information from the board. The emailed survey link was sent to 18,500 individuals.

The survey asked for input on adverse/beneficial economic effects on small businesses, and indirect adverse/beneficial effects – with space to elaborate on responses.

A summary of the survey results is available for viewing on the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors website (see link below) and are included as an attachment.

<https://nvbpels.org/business-impact-survey-results-2023/>

Manner in which the analysis was conducted

Survey results were initially reviewed for general comments to gauge if the intent of the proposed regulation changes was adequately conveyed.

Survey analysis then focused on “YES” responses relating the direct and indirect adverse economic effects, and the associated comments and explanations to determine the individual concerns. The same was done with survey results for the direct and indirect beneficial impacts.

1. Estimated economic effects of the proposed regulation on small businesses

Based on the survey results, there are no adverse economic impacts related to the proposed repeal of regulations relating to professional land surveying.

2. Considerations were made to reduce impact of proposed regulation

The proposed repeal and amendment of regulations relating to professional land surveying was put forward to lessen regulatory burden. No adverse impacts were identified that warranted additional consideration.

3. Cost estimate for agency enforcement

At this time there would be no additional cost to the regulatory board to enforce the proposed repeals. Any issues relating to compliance would be absorbed into the existing workload of the current staffing levels.

4. New fees or increases in existing fees

The proposed repeals do not involve an increase to existing fees or create any new fees.

5. Are any duplicative or more stringent provisions involved

There are no federal regulations associated with professional engineers and land surveyors. However, every state and US territory regulates the professions of engineering and land surveying.

6. Summary of conclusions

The proposed repeal of regulations relating to professional land surveying will have no adverse impacts on small businesses.

Certification by Person Responsible for the Agency

I, Patty Mamola, Executive Director of the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors certify to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed repeal of regulations on small business, and the information contained in this statement was prepared properly and is accurate.



March 9, 2023




Category 2 - Business impacts related to proposed repeal of regulations related to standards of practice for professional land surveyors.

Small Business Impact Survey Results

Q1 Type of Business (primary service offered)


Answered: 54 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Engineering	66.67%	36
Land Surveying	33.33%	18
Architectural	0.00%	0
Contractor	0.00%	0
TOTAL		54

Q2 Number of Full-Time Employees


Answered: 53 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
>150	32.08%	17
<150	67.92%	36
TOTAL		53

Q3 Business Managing Office Location


Answered: 53 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
in Nevada	50.94%	27
other US State	49.06%	26
outside US	0.00%	0
TOTAL		53

Q4 Will a specific proposed repeal of a regulation(s) relating to standards of practice for land surveyors have a direct adverse economic effect on your business?

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	5.56%	2
No	94.44%	34
TOTAL		36


Q5 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 4.

Answered: 15 Skipped: 21

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	None	2/22/2023 2:22 PM
2	Not involved in Land Surveying.	2/15/2023 1:04 PM
3	None	2/14/2023 9:10 PM
4	NAC 625.668 SPEAKS TO THE POSITIONAL CERTAINTY OF AN ESTABLISHED MONUMENT. NAC 625.666 SPEAKS TOP THE POSITIONAL CERTAINTY OF A SURVEY. (NOT THE SAME THING AND SHOULD NOT BE REPEALED). NAC 625.690 PROTECTS THE PROFESSION IN THAT IT SPECIFICALLY STATES THAT 1. THE PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR SHALL MAKE A FINAL ANALYSIS AND REACH A CONCLUSION AS TO THE MOST PROBABLE LOCATION OF CORNER POSITIONS AND BOUNDARY LINES. AND 2. A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR SHALL SET MONUMENTS. PERHAPS THE ONLY CHANGE TO 625.690 SHOULD BE TO TO RE-STATE 2 AS A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR SHALL SET MONUMENTS TO MEMORIALIZE THE CORNER POSITIONS DETERMINED IN (1.) PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF NRS 625.380 AND ALL APPLICABLE ORDINANCES. IT NEEDS TO BE VERY CLEAR THAT PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS ARE THE ONLY PERSONS QUALIFIED TO MAKE A FINAL ANALYSIS AND REACH A CONCLUSION AS TO THE MOST PROBABLE LOCATION OF CORNER POSITIONS AND BOUNDARY LINES, AND TO SET MONUMENTS MEMORIALIZING THE LOCATIONS OF CORNERS AND BOUNDARY LINES.	2/13/2023 3:06 PM
5	No	2/13/2023 7:41 AM
6	none	2/10/2023 11:07 AM
7	none	2/10/2023 10:12 AM
8	Eliminating needless regulations is a good thing.	2/10/2023 9:49 AM
9	No	2/10/2023 9:28 AM
10	The requirements for Licensed Engineers to become Professional Land Surveyors should be streamlined. We have no Surveyors and nobody wants to go to school to become a surveyor. They might as well go be an Engineer and make more money and do less work. For those that want to excel as an engineer and become a PLS as well, should be welcomed, not monopolized.	2/10/2023 8:16 AM
11	These statutes/regulations were developed by the Department of Redundancy Department	2/10/2023 8:14 AM
12	no comment	2/10/2023 6:30 AM
13	none	2/10/2023 6:04 AM
14	None	2/9/2023 6:40 PM
15	None	2/9/2023 5:42 PM

Q6 Will a specific proposed repeal of a regulation(s) relating to standards of practice for land surveyors have a direct beneficial effect on your business?

Answered: 36 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		8.33%	3
No		91.67%	33
TOTAL			36


Q7 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 6.

Answered: 13 Skipped: 23

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	None	2/22/2023 2:22 PM
2	Not involved in Land Surveying.	2/15/2023 1:04 PM
3	None	2/14/2023 9:10 PM
4	THERE IS NO BENEFIT TO DIMINISHING THE PROFESSION OF LAND SURVEYING. IF YOU REPEAL NAC 625.795 THERE WILL BE NO BENEFIT TO ANY DATA PROVIDED TO A GIS BECASUE WITHOUT META DATA THE DATA IS SPATIALLY WORTHLESS.	2/13/2023 3:06 PM
5	No	2/13/2023 7:41 AM
6	none	2/10/2023 11:07 AM
7	none	2/10/2023 10:12 AM
8	Any time you can reduce the length of the laws we have to read saves time and money.	2/10/2023 9:49 AM
9	No	2/10/2023 9:28 AM
10	no comment	2/10/2023 6:30 AM
11	none	2/10/2023 6:04 AM
12	None	2/9/2023 6:40 PM
13	None	2/9/2023 5:42 PM

Q8 Do you anticipate any indirect adverse effects from the proposed repeal on your business?

Answered: 35 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	8.57%	3
No	91.43%	32
TOTAL		35


Q9 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 8.

Answered: 14 Skipped: 22

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	None	2/22/2023 2:22 PM
2	Not involved in Land Surveying.	2/15/2023 1:04 PM
3	None	2/14/2023 9:10 PM
4	This section of the law sets the ground roles on responsibility. No law is needed until someone decides that they will not follow it. This section of the law is essential.	2/14/2023 7:41 AM
5	REPEALING THESE PROPOSED CODES WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY HINDER THE PROFESSION AND ALLOW FOR NON-PROFESSIONALS TO DO THE WORK THAT ONLY PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYORS ARE QUALIFIES TO DO. THIS WILL UNDERMINE THE RELIABILITY OF ANY FOUND MONUMENT AND DIMINISH THE RELIABILITY OF ANY CORNER OR BOUNDARY LINE IF IT IS NOT SPECIFICALLY DETERMINED BY A LAND SURVEYOR. THESE CODES ALLOW FOR SPECIFICITY THAT THE STATUTE IS VAGUE ON.	2/13/2023 3:06 PM
6	No	2/13/2023 7:41 AM
7	While many regulations appear to state the obvious, they must be in place to support discipline against substandard practitioners. A regulation that is innocuous to the majority of licensees and supports discipline of those failing to meet the standard of care is a good regulation...	2/13/2023 7:03 AM
8	none	2/10/2023 11:07 AM
9	none	2/10/2023 10:12 AM
10	No	2/10/2023 9:28 AM
11	no comment	2/10/2023 6:30 AM
12	none	2/10/2023 6:04 AM
13	None	2/9/2023 6:40 PM
14	None	2/9/2023 5:42 PM

Q10 Do you anticipate any indirect beneficial effects from the proposed repeal on your business?

Answered: 35 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	5.71%	2
No	94.29%	33
TOTAL		35

Q11 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 10.

Answered: 14 Skipped: 22

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	None	2/22/2023 2:22 PM
2	Not involved in Land Surveying.	2/15/2023 1:04 PM
3	None	2/14/2023 9:10 PM
4	THERE IS NO BENIFIT TO DIMINISHING THE PROFESSION OF LAND SURVEYING.	2/13/2023 3:06 PM
5	No	2/13/2023 7:41 AM
6	none	2/10/2023 11:07 AM
7	none	2/10/2023 10:12 AM
8	Reduction of the regulations is helpful.	2/10/2023 9:49 AM
9	Finally a public entity recognizes licensed professionals are responsible for their duties. Babysitting is not necessary.	2/10/2023 9:35 AM
10	No	2/10/2023 9:28 AM
11	no comment	2/10/2023 6:30 AM
12	none	2/10/2023 6:04 AM
13	None	2/9/2023 6:40 PM
14	Ease of reading up on regulations	2/9/2023 5:42 PM



Category 3: Proposed Repeals or Amendments of Miscellaneous Regulations

Small Business Impact Statement

Small Business Impact Statement for proposed repeals and amendments to regulations relating to:

Miscellaneous Regulations – NAC 625.460; NAC 625.620; NAC 625.625; NAC 625.635

Summary

The State Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors has determined that the proposed repeals and amendments will have no negative financial impact on a small business and in some circumstances will likely have a beneficial impact. The proposed regulations have no negative impact on the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business in Nevada.

A small business is defined in Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 233B as a “business conducted for profit which employs fewer than 150 full-time or part-time employees.”

This small business impact statement was created pursuant to NRS 233B.0608(3) and complies with the requirements of NRS 233B.0609. As required by NRS 233B.0608(3), this statement identifies the methods used by the agency in determining the impact of the proposed regulations on a small business and provides the reasons for the conclusions of the agency followed by certification by the agency’s responsible person.

Background

The proposed repeals and amendments reduce regulations by eliminating outdated and unnecessary regulations. The rationale for each of the proposed repeals is described in the following:

Miscellaneous Regulations

NAC 625.460

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation because it is outdated. The Board’s online license renewal system (put into operation in 2015) clearly states the license expiration date, whether a licensee chooses active or inactive status at time of license renewal. The second sentence is already covered by NAC 625.420.

NAC 625.620

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation because it is outdated. The Board’s online firm registration process requests the same information that would be included in a “certificate of fictitious name”. The Board requires a Nevada Business License as part of its firm registration and in obtaining a Nevada Business License, a firm would be required to file a certificate of

fictitious name in the form required by chapter 602 of NRS with the Nevada Secretary of State's office.

NAC 625.625

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation because it is outdated. Contact information is already addressed in NAC 625.615, and any change relating to discipline of engineering or land surveying is information that is collected at the time of license renewal and no additional notification is required.

NAC 625.635

→ Recommending repeal of this regulation as it states the obvious and it does not need to be in regulation, especially in consideration, as stated in item 1, a party can be represented by any person he/she designates.

Manner in which comments were solicited, response summary, and explanation of how interested parties may obtain a copy of summary

Referencing the requirements of NRS 233B.0608, the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors requested input via a survey link sent to all Nevada licensed engineers and land surveyors, and those signed up to receive news and information from the board. The emailed survey link was sent to 18,500 individuals.

The survey asked for input on adverse/beneficial economic effects on small businesses, and indirect adverse/beneficial effects – with space to elaborate on responses.

A summary of the survey results is available for viewing on the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors website (see link below) and are included as an attachment.

<https://nvbpels.org/business-impact-survey-results-2023/>

Manner in which the analysis was conducted

Survey results were initially reviewed for general comments to gauge if the intent of the proposed regulation changes was adequately conveyed.

Survey analysis then focused on “YES” responses relating the direct and indirect adverse economic effects, and the associated comments and explanations to determine the individual concerns. The same was done with survey results for the direct and indirect beneficial impacts.

1. Estimated economic effects of the proposed regulation on small businesses

Based on the survey results, there are no adverse economic impacts related to the proposed repeal and amendment of the listed miscellaneous regulations.

2. Considerations were made to reduce impact of proposed regulation

The proposed repeal and amendment of the listed miscellaneous regulations was put forward to lessen regulatory burden. No adverse impacts were identified that warranted additional consideration.

3. Cost estimate for agency enforcement

At this time there would be no additional cost to the regulatory board to enforce the proposed amendments. Any issues relating to compliance would be absorbed into the existing workload of the current staffing levels.

4. New fees or increases in existing fees

The proposed amendments do not involve an increase to existing fees or create any new fees.

5. Are any duplicative or more stringent provisions involved

There are no federal regulations associated with professional engineers and land surveyors. However, every state and US territory regulates the professions of engineering and land surveying.

6. Summary of conclusions

The proposed repeal and amendment of the listed miscellaneous regulations will have no adverse impacts on small businesses.

Certification by Person Responsible for the Agency

I, Patty Mamola, Executive Director of the Nevada Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors certify to the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed amendments to regulation on small business, and the information contained in this statement was prepared properly and is accurate.



March 9, 2023




Category 3 - Business impacts related to proposed repeal of miscellaneous regulations.

Small Business Impact Survey Results

Q1 Type of Business (primary service offered)


Answered: 104 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Engineering		87.50%	91
Land Surveying		11.54%	12
Architectural		0.00%	0
Contractor		0.96%	1
TOTAL			104

Q2 Number of Full-Time Employees


Answered: 102 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
>150	33.33%	34
<150	66.67%	68
TOTAL		102

Q3 Business Managing Office Location


Answered: 103 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
in Nevada	44.66%	46
other US State	54.37%	56
outside US	0.97%	1
TOTAL		103

Q4 Will a specific proposed repeal of a miscellaneous regulation(s) have a direct adverse economic effect on your business?

Answered: 68 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES		RESPONSES	
Yes		0.00%	0
No		100.00%	68
TOTAL			68


Q5 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 4.

Answered: 22 Skipped: 46

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	No	2/22/2023 2:09 PM
2	None	2/16/2023 4:26 PM
3	No effect.	2/15/2023 1:02 PM
4	Proposed changes seem reasonable.	2/15/2023 7:21 AM
5	None	2/14/2023 9:10 PM
6	no	2/14/2023 5:40 PM
7	I support this change.	2/14/2023 7:42 AM
8	No	2/13/2023 7:43 AM
9	no	2/10/2023 1:47 PM
10	No	2/10/2023 1:01 PM
11	none	2/10/2023 11:08 AM
12	NA	2/10/2023 10:17 AM
13	none	2/10/2023 10:09 AM
14	Eliminating needless regulation is a good thing.	2/10/2023 9:52 AM
15	No	2/10/2023 9:29 AM
16	No.	2/10/2023 8:20 AM
17	I support the repeal of these miscellaneous regulations	2/10/2023 7:59 AM
18	Although there is no economic effect that I can see, why are we removing the testing requirement to know Nevada law and regulations? This should be a part of obtaining a license in this state.	2/10/2023 6:54 AM
19	no comment	2/10/2023 6:32 AM
20	None	2/9/2023 6:43 PM
21	None	2/9/2023 5:48 PM
22	None	2/9/2023 5:43 PM

Q6 Will a specific proposed repeal of a miscellaneous regulation(s) have a direct beneficial effect on your business?

Answered: 67 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	4.48%	3
No	95.52%	64
TOTAL		67


Q7 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 6.

Answered: 21 Skipped: 47

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	No	2/22/2023 2:09 PM
2	None	2/16/2023 4:26 PM
3	.	2/15/2023 5:09 PM
4	No effect.	2/15/2023 1:02 PM
5	No additional comments.	2/15/2023 7:21 AM
6	None	2/14/2023 9:10 PM
7	no	2/14/2023 5:40 PM
8	No	2/13/2023 7:43 AM
9	no	2/10/2023 1:47 PM
10	No	2/10/2023 1:01 PM
11	none	2/10/2023 11:08 AM
12	NA	2/10/2023 10:17 AM
13	none	2/10/2023 10:09 AM
14	Less to read and worry about.	2/10/2023 9:52 AM
15	No	2/10/2023 9:29 AM
16	No.	2/10/2023 8:20 AM
17	No	2/10/2023 6:54 AM
18	no comment	2/10/2023 6:32 AM
19	None	2/9/2023 6:43 PM
20	None	2/9/2023 5:48 PM
21	None	2/9/2023 5:43 PM

Q8 Do you anticipate any indirect adverse effects from the proposed repeals on your business?

Answered: 67 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	0.00%	0
No	100.00%	67
TOTAL		67


Q9 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 8.

Answered: 19 Skipped: 49

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	No	2/22/2023 2:09 PM
2	None	2/16/2023 4:26 PM
3	No effect.	2/15/2023 1:02 PM
4	No additional comments.	2/15/2023 7:21 AM
5	None	2/14/2023 9:10 PM
6	no	2/14/2023 5:40 PM
7	No	2/13/2023 7:43 AM
8	no	2/10/2023 1:47 PM
9	No	2/10/2023 1:01 PM
10	none	2/10/2023 11:08 AM
11	NA	2/10/2023 10:17 AM
12	none	2/10/2023 10:09 AM
13	No	2/10/2023 9:29 AM
14	NO.	2/10/2023 8:20 AM
15	No	2/10/2023 6:54 AM
16	no comment	2/10/2023 6:32 AM
17	None	2/9/2023 6:43 PM
18	None	2/9/2023 5:48 PM
19	None	2/9/2023 5:43 PM

Q10 Do you anticipate any indirect beneficial effects from the proposed repeals on your business?

Answered: 68 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	5.88%	4
No	94.12%	64
TOTAL		68

Q11 Any comments or explanation relating to your answer to Question 10.

Answered: 19 Skipped: 49

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	No	2/22/2023 2:09 PM
2	Appears to streamline some paperwork	2/20/2023 11:09 PM
3	None	2/16/2023 4:26 PM
4	No effect.	2/15/2023 1:02 PM
5	Clarity to the requirements and responsibilities for individuals applying for licensure.	2/15/2023 7:21 AM
6	None	2/14/2023 9:10 PM
7	No	2/13/2023 7:43 AM
8	no	2/10/2023 1:47 PM
9	No	2/10/2023 1:01 PM
10	none	2/10/2023 11:08 AM
11	NA	2/10/2023 10:17 AM
12	none	2/10/2023 10:09 AM
13	Reduction of laws we have to comply with is good.	2/10/2023 9:52 AM
14	No	2/10/2023 9:29 AM
15	No	2/10/2023 6:54 AM
16	no comment	2/10/2023 6:32 AM
17	None	2/9/2023 6:43 PM
18	None	2/9/2023 5:48 PM
19	None	2/9/2023 5:43 PM